



Manuscript Evaluation Form

MANUSCRIPT INFORMATION

Manuscript No:

Manuscript Title:

Article Type:

Reviewer Assigned:

Date Sent to Reviewer:

Expected to of Review Completion:

Editor Assigned:

Editorial Assistant: Rose Brown

Editorial Office: SciTech Central Inc., 340 S Lemon Ave #2725 Walnut, CA 91789, USA

Email Address: editor-bioprocess@scitcentral.com

REVIEW QUESTIONS

1. Title

Does the title address the topic specified in the paper? Yes No

Do you recommend another Title? Yes No

If yes, please specify.

.....
.....

2. Abstract

Is the abstract specific and representative of the paper? Yes No

Are main results and conclusion presented? Yes No

3. Introduction

Is the problem being addressed clearly emphasized? Yes No

Are the research question and objectives clearly identified? Yes No

4. Theoretical Foundation

- Do the arguments build on existing theory? Yes No
- Has the author(s) cited the relevant literature? Yes No
- Are propositions/hypotheses important for the area of research? Yes No

5. Research Design and Methods

- Is the research design adequate? Yes No
- Is the sample size adequate? Yes No
- Is there sufficient detail to enable the reader to duplicate the analysis? Yes No

6. Results

- Is the statistical analysis clearly presented? Yes No
- Are the results presented in a logical sequence to support the hypotheses? Yes No

7. Discussion

- Are data and results presented in a succinct manner? Yes No
- Is the relationship between results with previous research relevant? Yes No
- Are reasons for differences in results with previous research clearly stated? Yes No
- Are possible directions for future research stated? Yes No

8. Conclusions

- Are conclusions stated briefly in a logical order? Yes No

9. General Aspects

- Is the paper well structured? Yes No
- Is the paper well written and readable? Yes No
- Are references accurate and complete? Yes No
- Is line of reasoningconvincing? Yes No
- Would you recommend this paper to your colleagues? Yes No

GENERAL COMMENTS TO THE AUTHOR

For paper improvement please provide in the following constructive comments in the form of a detailed review on the most important aspects to be addressed by the author(s). This part is intended to be communicated to the author(s)

EVALUATION RESULTS

Considering the present version of this paper, it is:

- Not developed enough and must be rejected
- Revise and resubmit
- Conditional acceptance with proposed revisions must be completed
- Acceptable in its current form