

impact was due to the positive developments of the central government debt and interest expenditure on government revenue, while the negative impact was strongest in conjunction with growth of GDP.

Table 1: Regression results for impact of the tax burden on state financial stability

<i>Public Finance Stability Index</i>				
Indicator	HTBLFS	LTBHFS	HTBHFS	LTBLFS
Bond yields	-0.00175	0.00440	-	0.00308
Central government debt	0.00467	0.00757	0.00960	0.00304
Interest expenditure on government revenue	-	0.00814	0.00406	0.00955
GDP	-0.00323	0.00113	-0.00160	-0.00137
Reserves / imports	-	-	-	0.00652
	-0.00031	0.02124	0.01206	0.02083
<i>Financial system stability index</i>				
Indicator	HTBLFS	LTBHFS	HTBHFS	LTHLHFS
Loans / GDP	-0.00008	-	-	-0.00001
Budget deficit / surplus	0.00163	0.00375	0.00385	0.00164
Private Sector Loans	-	-	0.00045	-
Non-performing loans	-	0.00024	-0.00003	0.00036
Z-score indicator	-	-	-	-0.00002
Liquidity indicators	0.00010	0.00013	-0.00010	-
	0.00165	0.00412	0.00418	0.00197
<i>Economic stability index</i>				
Indicator	HTBLFS	LTBHFS	HTBHFS	LTHLHFS
GDP (difference to target)	-0.01023	0.00631	-0.01372	-0.00574
Unemployment rate	0.00009	0.00647	0.00080	-0.00084
Budget deficit	0.00190	0.00437	0.00450	0.00192
Bond yields	-0.00175	0.00440	-	0.00308
	-0.00999	0.02155	-0.00843	-0.00159
<i>Tax burden on state financial stability</i>	-0.00865	0.04690	0.00780	0.02121

The results of testing the hypotheses. The results of the empirical study allowed to confirm 4 and reject 4 hypotheses (Table 2).

Meanwhile, the increase in tax burden has a positive effect on the stability of the financial system in all country groups. The biggest positive impact of the tax burden on the stability of the financial system is made through the reduction of the budget deficit ratio. Studies show that economic stability indicators would be negatively affected by an increase in the tax burden in three groups and only positively in a group of a low tax burden and high financial stability. The tax burden would also have the strongest impact on economic stability in a group of countries with high financial stability. The strongest negative value could be observed in conjunction with the difference to the nominal GDP, the change of which with the increase of the tax burden would have a negative impact on the stability of the economy. After assessing the impact of the tax burden on individual components of the state financial stability the total impact of the increase in the tax burden on the state financial stability could be calculated. According to the results of the empirical study the increase in tax burden strengthened state financial

stability in three country groups, while decreased in the high tax stability/ low financial stability country group.

Table 2: The confirmation and rejection of hypotheses.

	TESTED HYPOTHESIS	RESULT	ARGUMENTATION
H1	<p>The tax burden has a significant impact for the HTBHFS (High Tax Burden / High Financial Stability) group:</p> <p><i>H1₁ has a positive (+) effect</i></p> <p><i>H1₂ has a negative (-) effect</i></p>	<p>Accepted <i>H1₁ has a positive (+) effect</i></p> <p>Rejected <i>H1₂ has a negative (-) effect</i></p>	<p>The tax burden has a significant positive impact on state financial stability for the HTBHFS (High Tax Burden / High Financial Stability) countries:</p> <p>The positive impact of the tax burden for this country group is smaller (0.00780) than for the LTBHFS (Low Tax Burden / High Financial Stability Cluster) (0.4690) and LTBLFS (Low Tax Burden / Low Financial Stability Cluster) (0.02121).</p>
H2	<p>The tax burden has a significant impact for the LTBHFS (Low Tax Burden / High Financial Stability) group:</p> <p><i>H2₁ has a positive (+) effect</i></p> <p><i>H2₂ has a negative (-) effect</i></p>	<p>Accepted <i>H2₁ has a positive (+) effect</i></p> <p>Rejected <i>H2₂ has a negative (-) effect</i></p>	<p>The tax burden has a significant impact on state financial stability for the LTBHFS (Low Tax Burden / High Financial Stability) group. This country group had the most significant positive effect compared to other clusters, which was determined by the positive change in all three state financial stability indices.</p> <p>The impact of the tax burden has a positive effect on economic stability only in the LTBHFS countries (0.02155), compared to the negative impact on HTBLFS (0.00999), HTBHFS (0.00843) and LTBLFS (0.00159).</p>
H3	<p>The tax burden has a significant impact for the HTBLFS (High Tax Burden / Low Financial Stability) group:</p> <p><i>H3₁ has a positive (+) effect</i></p> <p><i>H3₂ has a negative (-) effect</i></p>	<p>Rejected <i>H3₁ has a positive (+) effect</i></p> <p>Accepted <i>H3₂ has a negative (-) effect</i></p>	<p>The tax burden has a significant positive impact on state financial stability for the HTBLFS (High Tax Burden / Low Financial Stability) countries:</p> <p>A positive effect of the tax burden for this group was found only in the Financial System Stability Index (0.00165) which is the lowest compared to the values determined in other clusters: LTBHFS (0,00412), HTBHFS (0,00418) and LTBLFS (0,00197)</p>
H4	<p>The tax burden has a significant impact for the LTBLFS (Low Tax Burden / Low Financial Stability) group:</p> <p><i>H4₁ has a positive (+) effect</i></p> <p><i>H4₂ has a negative (-) effect</i></p>	<p>Accepted <i>H4₁ has a positive (+) effect</i></p> <p>Rejected <i>H4₂ has a negative (-) effect</i></p>	<p>The tax burden has a significant positive impact on state financial stability for the LTBLFS (Low Tax Burden / Low Financial Stability) countries.</p> <p>A positive effect was found for the Public Financial Stability Index and the Financial System Stability Index, while a negative effect was found for the Economic Stability Index</p>

CONCLUSION

After testing the theoretical model in 28 EU countries several mixed conclusions were drawn regarding the peculiarities of the tax burden assessment. In general, when the tax burden is measured in relative terms, an increase in tax burden would have a positive impact on the stability of public finance in all country groups

except the one of high tax burden / low financial stability, where a negative impact would be relatively weak and have little impact on public finance stability. The strongest positive impact would be due to a positive development of the central government debt and interest expenditure on government revenue, while the negative impact would be strongest in conjunction to the growth of GDP. Meanwhile, an increase in the tax burden would have a positive effect on the stability of the financial system in all country groups. The biggest positive impact of the tax burden on the stability of the financial system would be made through the reduction of the budget deficit. The study showed that economic stability would be negatively affected by the increase of the tax burden in three country groups and only positively in the group of low tax burden / high financial stability. The tax burden would also have the strongest impact on economic stability in the group of countries with high financial stability. The strongest negative value could be observed in conjunction with the difference to the nominal GDP, the change of which with the increase of a tax burden would have a negative impact on the stability of the economy. According to the results of the empirical study, as the tax burden increased (in relative terms) state financial stability increased in three country groups, while it decreased in the group of a high tax burden / low financial stability.

In terms of the composite index an increase in tax burden would have a positive impact on the stability of public finances in all groups except the one with a high tax burden / high financial stability, where a negative effect of the increase in tax burden was identified. The positive impact was strongest on positive developments in bond yields and interest expenditure on government revenue, while the negative impact was strongest on the reserve-import ratio. The study also showed that the indicators of the financial system stability would be positively affected by the increase in tax burden in three country groups and negatively impact only the one with low tax burden / low financial stability. The strongest positive values were found in conjunction with the budget deficit, while the strongest negative impact of the increase in the tax burden was found within the liquidity of the financial system's ratio. Meanwhile, the increase in tax burden has a positive effect on economic stability in all country groups. The biggest positive impact of the tax burden on the stability of the financial system was observed in parallel with the decline in the bond yield volatility. The results of the empirical study show that as the tax burden increases (measured by composite index values) state financial stability decreases in the countries with a high tax burden and high financial stability, but increases in the remaining three country groups. In summary it can be stated that different methods of estimating the tax burden have yielded different results of its effect in countries with high levels of tax burdens, but a positive trajectory can be identified in countries with low levels of tax burdens.

REFERENCES

- Abuselidze, G. (2012). The Influence of Optimal Tax burden on Economic Activity and Production Capacity. *Intellectual Economics* 6(4), 16.
- Adam, A., Kammas, P. & Lapatinas, A. (2015). Income inequality and the tax structure: evidence from developed and developing countries. *Journal of Comparative Economics* 43(1), 138-154.
- Albulescu C. (2010). Forecasting the Romanian Financial system stability using a stochastic simulation Model. *Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting* 13(1), 81-98.
- Albulescu, C. (2012). Financial stability, monetary policy and budgetary coordination in EMU. *Theoretical & Applied Economics* 19(8), 85-96.
- Alstadsaeter, A., Johannesen, N., & Zucman, G. (2017). Tax Evasion and Inequality. *National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper, No 23772*

Skaržauskas

- Akins, B., Li, L., Ng, J., & Rusticus, T.O. (2016). Bank competition and financial stability: Evidence from the financial crisis. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* 51, 1-28.
- Alshubiri, F. (2019). Public finance indicators and the value of investment project development: a comparative study of GCC countries. *Journal of Business Economics and Management* 20(6), 1143-1167.
- Amadeo K. (2013). Budget Deficit. How Deficits Affect the Economy. Available online at: http://useconomy.about.com/od/glossary/g/Budget_Deficit.htm
- Bischof, J., Laux, C. & Leuz, C. (2019). Accounting for financial stability: Lessons from the financial crisis and future challenges. *SAFE Working Paper Series 283, Leibniz Institute for Financial Research SAFE*.
- Birškytė, L. (2019). The impact of government debt on public finance stability in Lithuania. *Contemporary issues in business, management and economics engineering' 2019*, VGTU.
- Blommestein, H. J., & Turner, P. (2012). Interactions between sovereign debt management and monetary policy under fiscal dominance and financial instability. OECD Working Papers on Sovereign Borrowing and Public Debt Management No. 3. OECD Publishing. Available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1787/5k9fdwrnd1g3-en>
- Boyd J, Kwak S. ir Smith B. (2005). The Real Output Losses Associated with Modern Banking Crises. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking* 37(6), 977-999.
- Caner M., Grennes T. & Koehler-Geib F. (2010). Finding the Tipping Point – When Sovereign Debt Turns Bad. *Policy Research Working Paper 5391*, The World Bank.
- Celikay, F. (2020). Dimensions of tax burden: a review on OECD countries. *Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science* 25(49), 27-43.
- Cural, M. & Cevik, N.K. (2015). Ekonomik kalkınmanın vergi yapısı üzerindeki etkisi: 1924-2013 dönemi Türkiye örneği. *Amme İdaresi Dergisi* 48(3), 127-158.
- Das, U.S., Xu, T. & Hu, K. (2019). Bank Profitability and Financial Stability. International monetary fund, project: Systemic risk and financial stability, Working papers 19(5).
- Daugelienė R. (2012). Hypothetical of Macroeconomic Stability Surveillance Indicators and European Union 's Initiatives for Maintenance of Financial Stability. *European Integration Studies* No. 6.
- Dell'Aricecia G. Detragiache E., Rajan R. (2008). The real effect of banking crises. *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 17(1), 89-112.
- ECB-European Central Bank. (2019). Financial Stability Review. Available online at: <https://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/financialstability/fsr/html/ecb.fsr201911~facad0251f.en.html>
- Fell, J., Schinasi, G. (2005). Assessing financial stability: exploring the boundaries of analysis. *National Institute Economic Review* 192(1), 102-117.
- Flood, M.D., Jagdish, H.V. & Raschid, L. (2016). Big data challenges and opportunities in financial stability monitoring. *Banque de France, Financial Stability Review* 20, 129-142.
- Gadanetz, B.K., Tsatsaronis, K., Altunbas, Y. (2012). Spoilt and Lazy: the Impact of State Support on Bank Behavior in the International Loan Market. *International Journal of Central Banking* 8(4), 121-173.
- Gersl A., Hermanek J. (2006). Financial Stability Indicators: advantages and disadvantages of their use in the Assessment of the Financial System Stability. *Financial Stability Report*, Czech National Bank.
- Gray D., Merton R. & Bodie Z. (2007). New framework for measuring and managing macro financial risk and financial stability No. 13607, *National Bureau of Economic Research*.
- Haldane A., Aukman D., Kapadia S., & Hinterschweiger M. (2017). Rethinking Financial Stability. *Rethinking Macroeconomic Policy IV Conference*, Washington.
- Hawkins J., & Klau M. (2000). Measuring potential vulnerabilities in emerging market economies, Bank for International Settlements. Monetary and Economic Department.
- Houben A. ir Kakes J. (2004). Toward a Framework for Safeguarding Financial Stability. *IMF Working paper no. WP/04/101*.

- Houben, A., Kakes J., & Schinasi, G. (2005). A practical approach to financial stability. *The Financial Regulator* 9(4).
- International Monetary Fund. (2020). How the IMF Promotes Global Economic Stability. Available online at: <https://www.imf.org/en/About/Factsheets/Sheets/2016/07/27/15/22/How-the-IMF-Promotes-Global-Economic-Stability>
- İlgün, M F. (2016). Financial development and domestic public debt in emerging economies: a panel cointegration analysis. *Journal of Applied Economics and Business Research* 6(4), 284-296.
- Janda, K., & Kravtsov, O. (2017). Time-varying effects of public debt on the financial and banking development in central and Eastern Europe. *Munich Personal RePEc Archive* 77325, 1-17.
- Jiang, L., Levine, R. 2019. Does competition affect bank risk? Available online at: https://faculty.haas.berkeley.edu/ross_levine/Papers/Bank%20Risk_02_2019.pdf
- Kroszner R., Laeven L., Klingebiel D. (2007). Banking crises, financial dependence, and growth. *Journal of Financial Economics* 84(1), 17-228.
- Levine, R., & Corbae, D. (2018). Competition, Stability, and Efficiency in the Banking Industry Available online at: https://faculty.haas.berkeley.edu/ross_levine/Papers/CorbaeLevine102118.pdf
- Liu, L. & Altshuler, R. (2013). Measuring the burden of the corporate income tax under imperfect competition. *National Tax Journal* 66(1).
- Nelson, W.R., & Perli, R. (2007). Selected indicators of financial stability. *Risk Measurement and Systemic Risk* 4, 343-372.
- OECD. (2019). Tax revenue trends in the OECD. *Revenue Statistics 2019*.
- Ozili P.K., and Thankom. A.G. 2018. Income smoothing among European systemic and non-systemic banks. *The British Accounting Review*.
- Paientko, T., & Oparin V. (2020). Reducing the Tax Burden in Ukraine: Changing Priorities. *Central European Management Journal* 28(3), 98-126.
- Riley G. (2009). Macroeconomic stability. Available online at: <http://www.tutor2u.net/blog/index.php/economics/print/macroeconomic-stability>
- Riley G. (2014). Macroeconomics. *Tutor2ru*
- Roosma, F., Oorschot, W. & Gelissen, J. (2015). A just distribution of burdens? Attitudes toward the social distribution of taxes in 26 welfare states, *International Journal of Public Opinion Research* 28(3), 376-400.
- Sharuddin, D., & Rama, A. (2017). Currency system and its impact on economic stability. *Journal Ilmu Ekonomi Syariah (Journal of Islamic Economics)* 9(2), 289-310.
- Schinasi, G.J. (2006). Safeguarding Financial Stability: Theory and Practice. *Washington, D.C., International Monetary Fund*.
- Schakeldorf D.A., Slemrod, J., & Sallee, J.M. (2011). Financial reporting, tax, and real decisions: Toward a unifying framework. *International Tax and Public Finance* 18, 461-492.
- Tamai, T., & Myles, G. (2019). Unemployment, Tax Competition and Tax Transfer Policy. *Economic research center* 1-25.
- Skaržauskas, S. (2016). Influence of Tax Burden on Countries Financial Stability. *Proceedings of 4th International Symposium of Business System Laboratory, Vilnius* 189-192
- Staniuliene, G. (2015). Fiskalinių kintamųjų poveikio finansiniam stabilumui vertinimas. Doctoral Thesis, Vilnius.
- Van den End J. (2006). Indicator and boundaries of financial stability. *No. 097, Netherlands Central Bank, Research Department*.
- Vasiliauskaitė A., & Stankevičius E. (2009). Tax Burden Management and GDP growth: Case of EU. *Ekonomika ir vadyba: 2009*. 14.
- Vasileva, T. (2020). Tax Burden as an Indicator of Assessing the Impact of Taxation System on Region's Economy. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research* 392.