

A Case Report: Stevens-Johnson Syndrome Induced by the Combination of Lamotrigine and Valproic Acid

Haouatti F^{1*,2}, Toumi H^{1,2}, Tachema A^{1,2}, Boukersoul N^{1,2}, Mekaouche Nfz^{1,2}, Ould Amar N^{1,2}, Fetati H^{1,2}, Memou A^{1,2}, Senhaji I^{1,2}, Belbouche N^{1,2}, Boudia F^{1,2}, Reziga Kh³, Fattouhi⁴ and Serraj A⁴

¹Pharmacovigilance service, EHU ORAN, Algeria

²Pharmaceutical Research and Development Laboratory LRDP, Algeria

³Reanimation Service, CHU, Algeria

⁴Dermatology Service, EHU ORAN, Algeria

Received June 10th, 2020; Revised July 14th, 2020; Accepted July 16th, 2020

ABSTRACT

Lamotrigine is pluripotent antiepileptic drug. However, it is associated with many serious adverse effects such as Stevens-Johnson syndrome, especially when co-administrated with valproic acid. We report a case of Stevens-Johnson syndrome in an adolescent in a context of polymedication of antiepileptic drugs. Lamotrigine was added to his existing treatment of valproic acid and levetiracetam. Eleven days after Stevens-Johnson syndrome was developed and a notification was sent to the pharmacovigilance service of the EHU of Oran to calculate the imputability. The patient received symptomatic treatment with methyl prednisolone and a rehydration regimen was instituted. His condition improved over the next two weeks and he finally recovered with continuous outpatient follow-up.

Keywords: Adverse effects, Stevens-Johnson, Lamotrigine, Valproic acid

INTRODUCTION

Lamotrigine (LTG) is a second-generation anti-epileptic drug, active on both focal and generalized seizures [1,2]. It is also effective in the treatment of various neuropsychiatric disorders [3]. The use of LTG is associated with the risk of serious skin adverse effects. These effects include life-threatening rashes, such as Stevens-Johnson syndrome (SJS) [4]. Children undergoing polytherapy have a higher risk of developing them [5]. As well as the combination of LTG and valproic acid (VPA) which increases the frequency and the severity of these skin reactions [6]. VPA not only decreases the clearance of LTG but also inhibits the detoxification pathways [6].

CASE REPORT

A 17-year-old adolescent with mental retardation secondary to hypoxic ischemic syndrome and generalized epilepsy, was referred to the emergency room for a febrile rash and skin detachment. Physical examination showed phlyctens, congestive facial oedema and pruritic erythematous macules affecting his face, extremities, body, and hips. The epidermal detachment interested 10% of the skin surface with a positive Nikolsky's sign. Mucosal damage (cheilitis, mouth ulcers and bilateral conjunctivitis) were associated (Figures 1 and 2).

From the dermatologic point of view and considering the history and clinical presentation, the patient was diagnosed with SJS and a notification was sent to the pharmacovigilance service of Oran EHU to calculate the accountability.

The drugs investigation found a first intake of LTG (25 mg once a day) eleven days earlier and there were no other medications introduced to his treatments in the previous three months. The rest of his treatment included levetiracetam (LEV) (750 mg twice a day) and VPA (500 mg three times a day), perfectly tolerated for more than two years. Since the suspected cause was LTG, the drug was stopped immediately. The patient received symptomatic

Corresponding author: Haouatti Fairouz, Pharmacology Doctor, Pharmacovigilance Laboratory, Algerian Democratic and Popular Republic Health and Higher Education Ministry, University of Oran1, Es Senia, Algeria, Tel: 0553693495; E-mail: houattipharmaco@outlook.fr

Citation: Fairouz H, Toumi H, Tachema A, Boukersoul N, Nfz M et al. (2020) A Case Report: Stevens-Johnson Syndrome Induced by the Combination of Lamotrigine and Valproic Acid. Int J Clin Case Stud Rep, 3(1): 158-162.

Copyright: ©2020 Fairouz H, Toumi H, Tachema A, Boukersoul N, Nfz M et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

treatment with methyl prednisolone and a rehydration regimen was initiated. Ocular lesions were treated with rifamycin (eye drops and ointment) and oral care (mouthwash) was also indicated. His condition improved over the next two weeks and the patient eventually recovered and was discharged with continuous outpatient follow-up.



Figure 1. Skin rash over face and neck along with extensive blistering lesions with mucosal involvement.



Figure 2. Diffuse pruritic erythematous macules.

DISCUSSION

SJS is a rare but life-threatening delayed hypersensitivity reaction (type IV) [7]. Schematically, SJS is characterized by skin detachment affecting less than 10% of the body surface with erosions of the mucous membranes. In lyell syndrome, skin detachment involves more than 30% of the body surface and overlapping forms have skin detachment ranging from 10 to 30% [8]. The SJS is a mainly drug-induced phenomenon in which 85% of the cases a drug is incriminated [9].

Taking a thorough medical history is crucial to this process and can be life-saving [7].

LTG is one of the high-risk drugs known to cause SJS [10]. The risk of skin reactions rises when the primary dose of LTG is high, when doses are hastily increased and when used in co-administration with VPA [11], as VPA can reduce LTG clearance by 54% in dual therapy [12]. The inhibition of LTG by VPA is concentration-dependent [13,14], with a maximum inhibitory effect can be expected at VPA doses of approximately 500 mg per day [15]. LEV has no effect on LTG clearance in dual therapy and does not interfere with the inhibitory effect of AVP in triple therapy [12]. Our patient was on 1500 mg per day of VPA before and during the combination with LTG suggesting maximum inhibitory effect. The recommended initial dose of LTG for patients taking simultaneously VPA is 12.5 mg/day (25 mg given every other day) for the first two weeks, followed by 25 mg once a day for the next two weeks [16]. Where as in our case the initial dose was 25mg per day and it was increased to 50mg per day the following week. All of these circumstances could promote the development of this syndrome. Numerous studies have reported cases of LTG-induced SJS, particularly with the concomitant use of VPA (**Table 1**). They have shown that there is a need to monitor the blood levels of these antiepileptic drugs.

Accountability makes it possible to formalize and clarify the assessment of the causal link between the taking of a drug and the occurrence of an adverse effect and, as such, is an aid in clinical practice for the diagnosis and management of an adverse effect in a given patient [27]. This operation implicates the entire pharmacovigilance system, since without reporting, there is no accountability or monitoring of drugs in the general population [28].

SJS occurs between the 5th day and the 8th week due to the delay required to activate the body's immune response [29]. The imputability of LTG has been retained in a suggestive timeline. Using the updated French imputability method, which is an algorithmic method based on the evaluation of eight criteria divided into intrinsic (chronological and semiological) (**Figure 3**) and extrinsic (literature data) factors.

Table 1. Clinical data and drug history of SJS in patients taking LTG with VPA.

| Study | Year | Number of cases | Sex | Age (years) | VPA | LTG | Time* | Prognostic** |
|----------------------|------|-----------------|-----|-------------|--------------|--|-------|--------------|
| Vazquez et al. [6] | 2018 | 01 | M | 08 | 375mg 3/day | 25 mg/day (2weeks) 50 mg/day (2weeks) | 04 | 12 |
| Maduemem et al. [17] | 2017 | 01 | M | 09 | 400 mg 2/day | 12.5 mg/day (0.4 mg/kg/day) | 06 | 12 |
| Kavitha et al. [11] | 2015 | 01 | F | 35 | 600 mg/day | 25 mg/day | 01 | 12 |
| Kaur et al. [18] | 2013 | 02 | F | 46 | 300 mg/Day | 50 mg 2/day | 02 | 45 |
| | | | F | 26 | 750 mg/Day | 25 mg/day | 04 | / |
| d'Oflaz et al. [19] | 2011 | 01 | F | 24 | 1000 mg/Day | 25 mg/day | 02 | 30 |
| Sahin et al. [20] | 2008 | 01 | M | 23 | | 25 mg and increased 50 mg/day | 02 | 12 |
| Kocak et al. [21] | 2007 | 01 | F | 23 | 500 mg 2/day | 50 mg 2/ day | 03 | 18 |
| Chang et al. [22] | 2006 | 01 | F | 32 | 1000 mg/Day | 12.5 mg/day and increased 25 mg/day | 02 | 14 |
| Famularo et al. [23] | 2005 | 01 | M | 24 | 600 mg/Day | 12.5 mg/day and increased 25 mg/day | 04 | 30 |
| Hashim et al. [24] | 2003 | 01 | F | 29 | / | / | 03 | 08 |
| Yalcin et al. [25] | 2000 | 01 | F | 33 | / | 25 mg/day increased to 150 mg/day | 04 | 10 |
| Bhushan et al. [26] | 2000 | 01 | M | 30 | 500 mg 4/day | 25 mg/j | 01 | 30 |

*Time before the start of SJS in weeks after introduction of LTG

**Favourable prognosis and hospital discharge in days

| Administration du médicament | Délai d'apparition de l'effet | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------|------|--|------|------|--------------|
| | Suggestif* | | | Compatible (ni suggestif, ni incompatible) | | | Incompatible |
| Évolution de l'effet | Re-administration du médicament (R) | | | | | | |
| | R(+) | R(0) | R(-) | R(+) | R(0) | R(-) | |
| - Suggestive - Régression de l'effet à l'arrêt du médicament avec ou sans traitement symptomatique (avec un recul suffisant et en prenant en compte les caractéristiques pharmaco-toxicologiques ou pharmacodynamiques du médicament), ou lors de la diminution de posologie pour un effet dose-dépendant | C3 | C3 | C1 | C3 | C2 | C1 | C0 |
| - Non concluante - Lésions irréversibles ou décès Évolution incertaine Recul insuffisant après l'arrêt du médicament Persistance de l'effet et médicament non arrêté Persistance de l'effet après administration unique | C3 | C2 | C1 | C3 | C1 | C1 | C0 |
| - Non suggestive - Absence de régression de manifestations de type réversible malgré l'arrêt avec un recul suffisant Régression complète malgré la poursuite du médicament | C1 | C1 | C1 | C1 | C1 | C1 | C0 |

| Autre cause non médicamenteuse | médicament et | | | médicament ou | | | rôle de ce médicament ni | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|------|------|---|------|------|---|------|------|
| | facteur favorisant bien validé du couple effet indésirable/médicament | | | facteur favorisant bien validé du couple effet indésirable/médicament | | | facteur favorisant bien validé du couple effet indésirable/médicament | | |
| | L(+) | L(0) | L(-) | L(+) | L(0) | L(-) | L(+) | L(0) | L(-) |
| Absente après bilan approprié | S3 | S3 | S2 | S3 | S3 | S1 | S3 | S2 | S1 |
| Non recherchée (ou bilan incomplet) | S3 | S3 | S1 | S3 | S2 | S1 | S3 | S1 | S1 |
| Présente | S2 | S2 | S1 | S2 | S1 | S1 | S1 | S1 | S0 |

Figure 3. Decision tables of the Score of the French imputability method updated in 2011 [27].

The intrinsic imputability score for LTG was I5 (Chronological criterion: C3 Semiological criterion: S2) (Figure 4) while the extrinsic imputability was B4 whereas VPA and LEV was I1 B2 and I1 B4 respectively.

| Combinaison des scores chronologiques (C) et sémiologiques (S) | Score d'imputabilité intrinsèque (I) |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| C0 ou S0 | 10 |
| C1S1 | 11 |
| C1S2 | 12 |
| C2S1 | 13 |
| C2S2 | 13 |
| C1S3 | 14 |
| C3S1 | 14 |
| C2S3 | 15 |
| C3S2 | 15 |
| C3S3 | 16 |

Figure 4. Intrinsic imputability score (I) of the French imputability method updated in 2011 from the combination of chronological (C) and semiological (S) scores [27].

CONCLUSION

This clinical case reminds us that only a rational prescription of anti-epileptic drugs can reduce the risk of dermatological accidents. The concomitant use of VPA and LTG can double the concentration of the latter, so a 50% reduction of LTG is recommended. Our pharmacovigilance survey encourages monitoring the blood concentration of antiepileptic drugs at the beginning of a new treatment with LTG. The calculation of imputability is not always easy, so a declaration of adverse effects must be actively carried out through the patient's electronic file in the pharmacovigilance service.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest in connection with this article.

REFERENCES

1. Yasam VR, Jakki SL, Senthil V, Eswaramoorthy M, Shanmuganathan S, et al. (2016) A pharmacological overview of lamotrigine for the treatment of epilepsy. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* 9: 1533-1546.
2. Shih JJ, Whitlock JB, Chimato N, Vargas E, Karceski SC, et al. (2017) Epilepsy treatment in adults and adolescents: Expert opinion, 2016. *Epilepsy Behav* 69: 186-222.
3. Naguy A, Enezi NA (2017) Lamotrigine uses in psychiatric practice beyond bipolar prophylaxis a hope or hype? *Am J Ther* 1: 1-7.
4. Galindo IF, Cook HJ, LLerena A, L'opez M (2018) Pharmacogenetics of adverse reactions to antiepileptic drugs. *Neurologia* 33: 165-176.
5. Egunsola O, Choonara I, Sammons HM (2015) Safety of lamotrigine in paediatrics: A systematic review. *BMJ Open* 5: 1-9.
6. Vázquez M, Maldonado C, Guevara N, Rey A, Fagiolino P, et al. (2018) Lamotrigine-valproic acid interaction leading to stevens-johnson syndrome. *Case Rep Med* 1-5.
7. Davis WD, Schafer PA (2018) Stevens-Johnson syndrome. *Adv Emerg Nurs J* 40: 176-182.
8. Mouafika SB, Hocara O, Akhdaria N, Amala S, Belghmaidi S, et al. (2015) Ophthalmic events following the Lyell and Stevens-Johnson syndromes. *Ann Dermatol Venereol* 142: 393-398.
9. Creamer D, Walsh SA, Dziewulski P, Exton LS, Lee HY, et al. (2016) UK guidelines for the management of Stevens- Johnsonsyndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in adults 2016. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 69: 736-741.
10. Sassolas B, Haddad C, Dunant A, Allanore LV, Roujeau JC (2011) Evolution in Europe of the causes of SJS/NET linked to high risk medicines. Analysis over 10 years. *Ann Dermatol Venereol* 138: A89.
11. Kavitha S, Anbuchelvan T, Mahalakshmi V, Sathya R, Sabarinath TR, et al. (2015) Stevens-Johnson syndrome induced by a combination of lamotrigine and valproic acid. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 7: S756-S758.
12. Koristkova B, Grundmann M, Brozmanova H, Kacirov I (2019) Lamotrigine drug interactions in combination therapy and the influence of therapeutic drug monitoring on clinical outcomes in paediatric patients. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol* 125: 26-33.
13. Lovrić M, Čajić I, Gadže ŽP, Domjanović IK, Božina N (2018) Effect of antiepileptic drug comedication on lamotrigine concentrations. *Croat Med J* 59: 13-19.
14. Xu S, Liu L, Chen Y, Liu M, Lu T, et al. (2018) Population pharmacokinetics of lamotrigine co-administered with valproic acid in Chinese epileptic children using nonlinear mixed effects modelling. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 74: 583-591.
15. Gidal BE, Sheth R, Parnell J, Maloney K, Sale M (2003) Evaluation of VPA dose and concentration effects on lamotrigine pharmacokinetics: implications for conversion to lamotrigine monotherapy. *Epilepsy Res* 57: 85-93.
16. Betchel NT, Saadabadi A (2020) *StatPearls*. Treasure Island (FL): Stat Pearls Publishing; 2020. Available online at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK470442/>

17. Maduemem K, Vatca A, O'Neill T, Buckley D (2017) Stevens-Johnson syndrome induced by combination of lamotrigine and valproic acid in a 9-year-old boy. *Ir Med J* 110: 586.
18. Kaur S, Dogra A (2013) Toxic epidermal necrolysis due to concomitant use of lamotrigine and valproic acid. *Indian J Dermatol* 58: 406.
19. Oflaz S, Kalkan HS, Gokce E, Karsidag C, Gurel MS (2011) Stevens Johnson syndrome-toxic epidermal necrolysis induced by a combination of lamotrigine and valproic acid: A Case Report. *Bull Clin Psychopharmacol* 21: 150-153.
20. Sahin S, Comert A, Akin O, Ayalp S, Karsidag S (2008) Cutaneous drug eruptions by current antiepileptics: Case reports and alternative treatment options. *Clin Neuropharmacol* 31: 93-96.
21. Kocak S, Girisgin SA, Gul M, Cander B, Kaya H, et al. (2007) Stevens-Johnson syndrome due to concomitant use of lamotrigine and valproic acid. *Am J Clin Dermatol* 8: 107-111.
22. Chang CC, Shiah IS, Chang HA, Huang SY (2006) Toxic epidermal necrolysis with combination lamotrigine and valproate in bipolar disorder. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatr* 30: 147-150.
23. Famularo G, Simone CD, Minisola G (2005) Stevens-Johnson syndrome associated with single high dose of lamotrigine in a patient taking valproate. *Dermatol Online J* 11: 25.
24. Hashim N, Bandara D, Tan E, Ilchyshyn A (2004) Early cyclosporine treatment of incipient toxic epidermal necrolysis induced by concomitant use of lamotrigine and sodium valproate. *Acta Derm Venereol* 84: 90-91.
25. Yalcin B, Karaduman A (2000) Stevens-Johnson syndrome associated with concomitant use of lamotrigine and valproic acid. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 43: 898-899.
26. Bhushan M, Brooke R, Hewitt- Symonds M, Craven NM, August PJ (2000) Prolonged toxic epidermal necrolysis due to Lamotrigine. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 25: 349-351.
27. Salamé GM, Théophile H, Haramburu F, Bégaud B (2016) Accountability in pharmacovigilance: From the original French method to updated methods. *Therapy* 71: 171-178.
28. Hardy AC (2019) Oserl'incertain. L'imputation des effets indésirables médicamenteux. *Anthropologie & Santé* 2019. Available online at: <http://journals.openedition.org/anthropologiesante/4777>
29. Houser J, Graham A (2018) Rechallenge of lamotrigine after development of rash. *Ment Health Clin* 8: 247-249.