

Bacteriological Spectrum of UTI and their Antibigrams in a Tertiary Care Cardiac Hospital, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

Arif Maqsood Ali*

* Department of Pathology and Blood Bank, Rawalpindi Institute of Cardiology, Rawalpindi, Punjab, Pakistan.

Received March 05, 2019; Accepted March 18, 2019; Published August 11, 2019

ABSTRACT

Aim: Urinary tract infection (UTI) is a term applied to a variety of clinical conditions ranging from asymptomatic presence of bacteria in urine to severe infection of kidney with resultant sepsis. Despite the widespread availability of antibiotics it remains the most common bacterial infection in human beings. The aim of this study was to analyze the bacteriological spectrum of UTI and its antibiogram among patients admitted at Rawalpindi Institute of Cardiology (RIC).

Material and methods: Urine specimens were taken from patients of all age groups admitted in Rawalpindi Institute of Cardiology from January to December 2018. A total of 727 urine specimens were cultured on Cysteine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient Agar. The growth was identified and antibiotic susceptibility was carried out by disc diffusion method as per recommended CLSI guidelines.

Results: Out of 727 urine specimens, 291 were culture positive. *Escherichia coli* was the most frequent uropathogen (38%) followed by *Klebsiella pneumonia* (14.8%), *Enterobacter* spp. (11%) and *Enterococcus* spp. (8.2%).

Discussion: Urinary tract infection is common among hospitalized patients. Frequent use of antibiotics has led to antibiotic resistance against common infections including UTI. However, very little information is available about UTIs in patients with ischemic heart disease and other cardiac ailments. Our study has also confirmed UTI being frequent among hospitalized cardiac patients. Nitrofurantoin was the most effective antibiotic followed by Imipenem, Meropenem and Amikacin against Enterobacteriaceae. Most isolates showed resistance to Ciprofloxacin and Ampicillin.

Keywords: Urinary tract infection, *Escherichia coli*, Antibiotics

INTRODUCTION

UTI is associated with significant mortality and morbidity. About 150 million people develop urinary tract infection every year [1]. UTI is more common in women than in men due to the short length of urethra [2].

Common symptoms of upper urinary tract infection include flank pain, fever, chills, nausea and vomiting [3]. Symptoms of lower urinary tract infection include dysuria, frequency, urgency of urine, pelvic pain in women and rectal pain in men [4]. Risk factors of urinary tract infection are older age, kidney stones, weak immune system, pregnancy and anomalies of urinary tract. The most common uropathogen is *Escherichia coli*. Others include *Klebsiella* spp., *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, *Pseudomonas* spp. *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) and fungal pathogens including *Candida albicans* [5]. The objective of this study is to find out the bacteriological spectrum of UTI and its antibiograms among patients of all age groups both male and female in RIC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective study was designed to find out the bacteriological spectrum of UTI and its antibiogram among patients of all age groups admitted in RIC, Rawalpindi, Pakistan from January to September 2018. RIC is a tertiary care cardiac hospital in twin city capital of Pakistan.

Catheterized and the patients on antibiotics were excluded. Midstream urine was collected by clean catch technique from admitted patients with UTI symptoms. Urinalysis was carried out by dipstick screening and microscopy. More than 5 WBC/HPF in male and more

Corresponding author: Arif Maqsood Ali, Department of Pathology and Blood Bank, Rawalpindi Institute of Cardiology, Rawalpindi, Punjab, Pakistan, Tel: 923247312713; E-mail: drarifmaqsoodali@hotmail.com

Citation: Ali AM. (2019) Bacteriological Spectrum of UTI and their Antibigrams in a Tertiary Care Cardiac Hospital, Rawalpindi, Pakistan. J Cardiol Diagn Res, 2(2): 61-66.

Copyright: ©2019 Ali AM. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

than 10 WBC/HPF in females was considered as pyuria.

All specimens were inoculated on Cysteine Lactose Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) Agar and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Twenty or more colonies on CLED Agar were taken as significant. Antibiotic susceptibility was carried out by disc diffusion method against Ampicillin, Amoxicillin/Clavulanate, Trimethoprim/Sulphamethaxazole, Fosfomycin, Amikacin, Gentamicin, Aztreonam, Nitrofurantoin, Ciprofloxacin, Ceftriaxone, Cefotaxime, Pipracillin/Tazobactam, Imipenem, Meropenem as recommended in CLSI-M 100 S 26th edition [6].

RESULTS

Out of 727 urine samples, 327 (45%) were obtained from females and 400 (55%) from males patients. Among all urine samples 291 (40%) were culture positive whereas 436 (60%) were culture negative. Among all positive cultures 150 (51.5%) were from male patients whereas 141 cases (48.5%) were from females patients.

The frequency of various organisms isolated in urine specimens is shown in **Table 1**. *Escherichia coli* were the most frequent urinary pathogen followed by *Klebsiella* spp. and others.

Table 1. Frequency of organisms (N=291).

Organisms	Total Numbers	%
<i>E. coli</i>	112	38%
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	43	14.8%
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	32	11%
<i>Enterococcus</i> spp.	24	8.2%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	18	6.2%
<i>Citrobacter</i> spp.	18	6.2%
<i>Pseudomonas aurogenosa</i>	15	5.1%
CONS	10	3.4%
<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	08	2.7%
<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.	08	2.7%
<i>Serratia</i> spp.	03	1%
Total	291	100

E. coli was susceptible to Nitrofurantoin (76%) followed by Imipenem (67%) and Meropenem (62%). It was least susceptible to Ampicillin (4.5%), Ciprofloxacin (22%) and Cefotaxime (30%). Ciprofloxacin was seen least effective against all Enterobacteriaceae whereas Nitrofurantoin, Imipenem and Meropenem were found the most effective as per their susceptibility (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Enterobacteriaceae.

Antibiotics	<i>E. coli</i> =112	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.=43	<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.=32	<i>Proteus</i> spp.=08	<i>Serratia</i> spp.=03
Ampicillin	4 (4.5%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Ciprofloxacin	25 (22%)	12 (28%)	10 (31%)	2 (25%)	1 (33%)
Nitrofurantoin	86 (76%)	33 (76%)	30 (94%)	6 (75%)	3 (100%)
Amikacin	65 (58%)	26 (63%)	24 (75%)	6 (75%)	2 (66%)
Piperacillin/ Tazobactam	50 (45%)	15 (35%)	15 (46%)	5 (63%)	1 (33%)
Gentamicin	51 (45%)	24 (56%)	18 (56%)	4 (50%)	3 (100%)
Fosfomycin	50 (45%)	18 (42%)	15 (46%)	4 (50%)	2 (66%)
Imipenem	76 (67%)	30 (70%)	23 (72%)	6 (75%)	1 (33%)
Ceftriaxone	35 (31%)	18 (42%)	21 (66%)	3 (38%)	1 (33%)
Sulphamethaxazole/	45 (40%)	21 (49%)	13 (40)	2 (25%)	3 (100%)
Cefotaxime	30 (27%)	28 (65%)	20 (63)%	3 (38%)	0 (0%)
Meropenem	70 (62%)	16 (37%)	20 (63%)	6 (75%)	0 (0%)
Ceftazidime				3 (38%)	0 (0%)

Cefoxitin screen was used to detect Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). All Staphylococcal isolates were susceptible to Linezolid, Vancomycin and

Nitrofurantoin. Enterococci were least susceptible to Ampicillin and most of isolates had high level resistance to Gentamycin (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *Enterococcus* spp.

Antibiotics	<i>Staphylococcus</i> =18	CONS=10	<i>Enterococcus</i> =24
Ampicillin	0 (0%)	02 (20%)	6 (25%)
Cefoxitin screen	2 (11%)	1 (10%)	-
Ciprofloxacin	10 (55%)	4 (40%)	8 (33%)
Gentamicin	11 (61%)	6 (60%)	18 (75%)
Nitrofurantoin	18 (100%)	10 (100%)	20 (83%)
Fosfomycin	12 (67%)	10 (100%)	14 (58%)
Linezolid	18 (100%)	10 (100%)	22 (92%)
Vancomycin	18 (100%)	10 (100%)	20 (83%)

Pseudomonas spp. was best susceptible to Colistin followed by Piperacillin/Tazobactam, Amikacin and Imipenem. Acinetobacter was the most resistant urinary pathogen. It was most susceptible to Colistin (**Table 4**).

Table 4. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

Antibiotics	<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.=15	<i>Acinetobacter</i> spp.=8
Tetracycline	-	4 (50%)
Sulphamethaxazole/Trimethoprim	-	4 (50%)
Ciprofloxacin	6 (40%)	2 (25%)
Ceftazidime	10 (66%)	0 (0%)
Pipracillin/Tazobactam	12 (80%)	2 (25%)
Imipenem	11 (73%)	1 (12%)
Amikacin	12 (80%)	3 (38%)
Gentamycin	11 (73%)	3 (38%)
Cefotaxime	-	0 (0%)
Cefipime	10 (66%)	0 (0%)
Colistin	15 (100%)	6 (75%)

DISCUSSION

Effective management of UTI depends upon the identification of microorganism and its susceptibility. It often requires close cooperation between clinician and microbiologist [7].

UTI is the most common bacterial infection and second common cause of morbidity and mortality in all age groups. In a study from Pakistan Institute of Medical Science, out of 1363 urine samples 289 were found positive for UTI [8]. Similar findings were observed in a study from Agha Khan University Hospital, Pakistan, where out of 9892 urine samples 37% were culture positive [9]. In that study, the frequency of UTI in females and males was 60.5% and 39.5%, respectively. However, in our study the gender distribution is 51.5% in males and 48.5% in females out of total 291 culture positive cases. The frequency of uropathogens isolated in our study is different from other studies where UTI was more frequent in females than in males. The clinical factors including anatomic differences, hormonal effects and behavioral patterns contribute to frequent UTI [10,11]. Hasan et al. [12] have reported a prevalence rate of UTI in females (70.5%) and males (29.5%). However, in our study being carried out in a cardiac hospital, UTI is more frequent in males than in females since myocardial ischemia is more common in male population than females.

Escherichia coli is the predominant urinary pathogen (38%) followed by *Klebsiella pneumonia* (*K. pneumonia*) (14.8%) in our study. Our results are similar to that were reported by Patel et al. [13] in Jamnagar, Gujrat and of Savitha et al. [14], India who had also found *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* as the most common uropathogen. They found *E. coli* causing UTI in 53.38% and 48.04% and *Klebsiella* spp. in 18.98%

and 8.82% of the isolates respectively [15]. Yadav et al. [16] have reported *E. coli* (61%), followed by *S. aureus* (12%), CONS (7%), Enterococcus (5%), *K. pneumoniae* (5%), *Candida* spp. (3%), *Proteus* spp. (2%) and others (6%). The most effective antibiotics in our study are Nitrofurantoin, Imipenem, Meropenem and Amikacin against Enterobacteriaceae. Similar findings have been reported by Niranjana et al. in Puducherry, India [15]. However, Yadav et al. [16] have found Imipenem as the most effective antibiotic against *E. coli* 85.9% and against *Klebsiella* spp. 89.4%.

In a study from Ethiopia out of 1404 urine samples, 319 (22.7%) were culture positive. *Escherichia coli* (63.6%) were the predominant uropathogen. Resistance to Erythromycin, Amoxicillin and Tetracycline was quite high in that study [17].

Nitrofurantoin is found as the most effective to treat UTI in our study. Similar findings were observed in surveillance studies by Sasirekha [18] and Khameneh and Afshar [19] other Indian studies.

Most of the organisms showed resistance to Ampicillin and Ciprofloxacin in our study. It could be attributed to empirical use of these antibiotics to treat common infections including pneumonias in ischemic patients beside preoperative and postoperative prophylactic use of these antibiotics in our hospital. Similar findings have been observed by Nozarian and Abdollahi [20] and Keah et al. [21]. Resistance to Ampicillin (50.1%) and Cotrimoxazole (22.1%) was observed in patients with complicated UTI and acute pyelonephritis in a study from United States [22].

In our study *E. coli* is susceptible to Nitrofurantoin (76%) followed by Imipenem (67%) and Meropenem (62%). It is least susceptible to Ampicillin (4.5%), Ciprofloxacin (22%) and Cefotaxime (30%). Ciprofloxacin is seen the least

effective against all Enterobacteriaceae whereas Nitrofurantoin, Imipenem and Meropenem are found the most effective as per their susceptibility (**Table 2**).

Klebsiella spp. is the second most frequently isolated uropathogen (14.8%). It is most susceptible to Nitrofurantoin (76%), Imipenem (70%) and Meropenem (65%). Amikacin is more effective than Gentamycin being 63% and 56%, respectively. Similar findings have been reported by Patel et al. [13] and Agha Khan University Hospital [9].

We have found *Enterobacter* spp. 11% in our culture isolates whereas it was reported 5% from Agha Khan University hospital, Karachi Pakistan and 8% by Farooq et al. [9] Nozarian and Abdollahi et al. [20]. The later had reported sensitivity of *Enterobacter* spp. 82.3% and 86.7% to Nitrofurantoin and Amikacin, respectively, whereas it is 94% and 75%, respectively in our study. Susceptibility towards Imipenem is 72% and Meropenem is 63%. The least susceptibility is observed against Ampicillin, Ciprofloxacin and Cephalosporins in that order. All isolates of *Serratia* spp. are susceptible to Gentamycin, Trimethoprim/Sulphamethoxazole and Nitrofurantoin (100%).

The frequency of *S. aureus* and CONS in our study is 6.2% and 3.4%, respectively (**Table 2**), whereas Yadav et al. [16] have reported these as 12% and 7%, respectively. MRSA and Methicillin-resistant Coagulase Negative Staphylococcus (MR CONS) are 11% and 10%, respectively in our study. While, Yadav et al. [16] have reported these as 33% and 96%, respectively. All of our Staphylococcal isolates are susceptible to Linezolid, Vancomycin and Nitrofurantoin whereas Yadav et al. [16] have reported these as 95.2%, 100% and 87.4%, respectively [16].

Enterococcus was found in 8% of the isolates whereas Yadav et al. [16] have reported it as 6% in their study. We have found it 93% susceptible against Linezolid and 83% against Vancomycin and Nitrofurantoin each.

CONCLUSION

UTI is the most common disease among hospitalized patients around the world. Our study has also confirmed that UTI is also common in patients admitted in a cardiac hospital. UTI is more frequent in males than in female patients admitted in our cardiac hospital. *Escherichia coli* were the most frequent uropathogen. Most of the isolates were susceptible to Nitrofurantoin, Imipenem, Meropenem and Amikacin but resistant to Amoxicillin, Ciprofloxacin and Ceftriaxone.

REFERENCES

1. Flores-Mireles AL, Walker JN, Caparon M, Hultgren SJ (2015) Urinary tract infections: Epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 13: 269-284.
2. Al-Achi A (2008) An introduction to botanical medicines: History, science, uses and dangers. Westport, Conn.: Praeger Publishers, p: 126.
3. <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/urologic-diseases/kidney-infection-pyelonitis>
4. <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/urologic-diseases/bladder-infection-uti-in-adults>
5. Woodford HJ, George J (2011) Diagnosis and management of urinary infections in older people (PDF). *Clin Med* 11: 80-83.
6. Richard T, Patel JB (2013) Performance standards for antimicrobial. 26th Edn.
7. Water G, Harrison B, Kunin G (1996) Urinary tract infection. *N Engl Med J*, pp: 248-250.
8. Amber M, Shoaib M, Rehman A, Zafar MM, Ain QT, et al. (2016) Comparative study of causative agents of UTI among indoor, outdoor, children and adult patients of Pakistani population. *Bull Env Pharmacol Life Sci* 58: 34-41.
9. Farooqui B, Alam M, Khurshid M (1989) Urinary tract infection. *J Pak Med Assoc* 39: 129-131.
10. Miller O, Hemphill RR (2001) Urinary tract infection and pyelonephritis. *Emerg Med Clin North Am* 19: 655-674.
11. Foxman B (2002) Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: Incidence, morbidity and economic costs. *Am J Med* 113: 5-13.
12. Hasan AS, Nair D, Kaur J, Baweja G, Deb M, et al. (2007) Resistance patterns of urinary isolates in a tertiary Indian hospital. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 19: 39-41.
13. Patel S, Taviad PP, Sinha M, Javadekar TB, Chaudhari VP (2012) Urinary tract infections (UTI) among patients at GG Hospital and Medical College, Jamnagar. *Nat J Comm Med* 3: 138-141.
14. Savitha T, Murugan K, Thangamariappan K (2011) Prevalence study on emergence of urinary tract infection in Erode, Tamil Nadu, India. *Int J Curr Res* 2: 5-13.
15. Niranjana V, Malini A (2014) Antimicrobial resistance pattern in *Escherichia coli* causing urinary tract infection among inpatients. *Indian J Med Res* 139: 945.
16. Yadav M, Pal R, Damrolien S, Khumanthem SD (2017) Microbial spectrum of urinary tract infections and its antibiogram in a tertiary care hospital. *Int J Res Med Sci* 5: 2718-2722.
17. Kibret M, Abera B (2014) Prevalence and antibiogram of bacterial isolates from urinary tract infections at

- Dessie Health Research Laboratory, Ethiopia. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 4: 164-168.
18. Sasirekha B (2013) Prevalence of ESBL, AmpC β -lactamases and MRSA among uropathogens and its antibiogram. EXCLI J 12: 81-88.
 19. Khameneh ZR, Afshar AT (2009) Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of urinary tract pathogens. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant 20: 251.
 20. Nozarian Z, Abdollahi A (2015) Microbial etiology and antimicrobial susceptibility of bacteria implicated in urinary tract infection in Tehran, Iran. Iran J Pathol 10: 54.
 21. Keah SH, Wee EC, Chng KS, Keah KC (2007) Antimicrobial susceptibility of community-acquired uropathogens in general practice. Malays Fam Physician 2: 64-69.
 22. Peterson J, Kaul S, Khashab M, Fisher A, Kahn JB (2007) Identification and pre-therapy susceptibility of pathogens in patients with complicated urinary tract infection or acute pyelonephritis enrolled in a clinical study in the United States from November 2004 through April 2006. Clin Ther 29: 2215-2221.