

Periodontal Health Assessment of Prisoners in Central Jail of Durg, Chhattisgarh - Cross Sectional Study

Vaibhav Motghare*, Prerna Jain, Sanobar Sohail and Saleha Parveen

*Department of Public Health Dentistry, I.T.S Dental College, Hospital & Research Centre, Greater Noida, India.

Received May 06, 2021; Revised May 23, 2021; Accepted May 26, 2021

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Oral cavity acts as a window for the rest of the body. The prison setup is a neglected part of the society requiring holistic health care approach.

Aim: (i) The purpose of this study was to assess the periodontal conditions of the prison population in the Central Jail of Durg, Chhattisgarh. (ii) To analyze the impact of age, duration of imprisonment and oral hygiene aid on the periodontal health of the prisoners.

Subjects and Methods: Oral examination was conducted by trained and calibrated examiners using a convenient sample of 375 prison inmates using WHO oral health assessment form, 1997. Demographic details, tobacco habits and oral hygiene habits were also recorded. Oral examination was carried out using mouth mirror, PMT set along with CPITN probe. CPI & LOA index were recorded for each individual. Data analysis was done using SPSS software version 21 and chi square test was applied. P value less than equal to 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: The prevalence of periodontitis was 30.1%. Majority of the study population had CPI score 1 (31.2%) & LOA score 0 (62.4%). There was statistically significant difference found between CPI and age, duration of imprisonment with p value obtained as 0.001 and 0.001; LOA and age, duration of imprisonment as 0.019 and 0.004, respectively.

Conclusion: Most of the prison inmates had bleeding on probing indicating planning of comprehensive treatment programmes.

Keywords: Periodontal Status, Prison inmates, Community Periodontal Index (CPI), Loss of attachment (LOA), Durg, Duration of Imprisonment, Age Group, Cleaning Aids, Habits, WHO Oral Health Assessment Form (1997)

INTRODUCTION

Oral health and general health go hand in hand. The oral cavity acts as a window for the rest of the body by providing signs and symptoms of general health disorders like Diabetes Mellitus and Blood Dyscrasias and HIV. [1]. Many systemic disorders act as risk indicators, which may affect the initiation and progression of oral diseases [2]. Oral conditions also have adverse effects on general health as they may be infectious in nature and many studies have reported periodontitis causing cardiovascular diseases. [1,3].

Maintenance of good oral health is contributed by multiple factors such as socioeconomic status, occupation, diet, oral hygiene etc. [3]. Specific population group like adolescents, pregnant women, geriatrics need unique health care approach. Also, dental health needs of prison inmates differ from those of general population due to increased level of neglect, drug abuse, chronic illnesses and poor nutrition. [3,4].

In a developing country like India, the oral health problems of the prison inmates are often not prioritized. Few reasons of such deteriorating condition of prisons are that they are mostly understaffed for general and oral health care and rarely have dental services and dental health check-up. Also, health care professionals choose not to work in prison system & the availability of alcohol, tobacco, illegal drugs impose a unique and challenging atmosphere [3,5].

Corresponding author: Vaibhav Motghare, MDS, Department of Public Health Dentistry, I.T.S Dental College, Hospital & Research Centre, Greater Noida, India, Tel: 8839970466; E-mail: vaibhav.motghare@gmail.com

Citation: Motghare V, Jain P, Sohail S & Parveen S. (2021) Periodontal Health Assessment of Prisoners in Central Jail of Durg, Chhattisgarh - Cross Sectional Study. J Oral Health Dent, 4(3): 356-362.

Copyright: ©2021 Motghare V, Jain P, Sohail S & Parveen S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Such circumstances in prison setting can lead to widespread increase in dental diseases among prison inmates [5].

Chhattisgarh has 5 Central Jails located in Raipur, Jagdalpur, Bilaspur, Durg and Ambikapur. Durg area has 1 Central jail with maximum accommodation of 1606, all the while housing 2602 inmates against the capacity [6,7].

Studies have reported that the prisoners usually belong to lower socioeconomic status with lower educational qualifications when compared to the general population [8,9].

Most oral diseases are preventable in nature. People belonging to lower socioeconomic status seldom use preventive health care services and have more chances of practicing detrimental health habits [8,9]. Often, only serious oral health diseased prisoners are referred to the nearby Government Hospitals for treatment while others are neglected [10]. Not many studies are conducted with regard to periodontal status of the prison population in Chhattisgarh. This study is an attempt to highlight the oral health status of the prisoners, focusing mainly on periodontal health, and to provide adequate resources required in prison system.

Thus, aim of the study is to assess periodontal status of prison inmates of Durg Central Jail and to know if age and duration of imprisonment has an effect on periodontal status.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design: A cross sectional study was designed and carried out at Central Jail Durg, Chhattisgarh. The study was carried out for duration of 2 months, from 15th March to 15th May 2019.

Study group: 375 prisoners, both male and female, belonging within the age group of 20 to 69 years were examined.

Sample size, sampling methodology & frame: Total number of prisoners present in jail at the time of survey was 2000. Sample size was calculated by using online website "checkmarket.com". With 5% as margin of error and 95% confidence level, minimum sample size required for survey was 323.

Of all the prisoners that had reported for the oral examination, 375 were chosen on a basis of convenience sampling.

Pre-recorded data of prisoners on that day was obtained from Jail Authorities and taken as sampling frame.

Inclusion criteria: Prisoners of both the genders within the age group 20 to 69 years were taken. Prisoners residing for more than or equal to 2 years were included in this study.

Exclusion criteria: Uncooperative patients were not admitted in the study along with the exception of edentulous subjects and patients psychologically unfit for the

investigation were excluded. Also, patient having less than 2 teeth present in each sextant on examination were excluded.

Ethical Clearance

The clearance for the study was obtained from the Ethical board committee of Maitri College of Dentistry & Research Centre, Durg. Informed consents were obtained from the participants & necessary permissions were taken from the prison authority.

Official permission

Prior permission was taken from the superintendent of District Jail, Durg to conduct study among prison inmates.

Training and calibration

Three calibrated interns participated in study and carried out oral examination of prisoners. Their training had previously been carried out in a pilot study where intra and inter-examiner reliability was obtained by calculating Cranach's alpha through split half technique and overall alpha value obtained was 0.8.

Armamentarium

Standardized instruments –WHO CPITN-E probe (API) were used for recording data along with mouth mirrors (API) & William's graduated periodontal probe (API), explorer, tweezers, PMT sets (probe, mouth mirror and twizzer).

Mouth masks, gloves, antiseptic hand-wash, sterilizing pouches were used alongside.

Proforma

The data was recorded in a modified WHO Oral Health Assessment Form (1997), which comprised of demographic detail-age, gender, duration of imprisonment, tobacco habits, oral hygiene aids used [11].

Collection of Data

Daily and weekly schedule were made and prisoners were examined in Jail's hospital. 15-20 minutes was given to examiner for examination of each individual. Each examiner was assigned with an assistant who wrote the demographic details, CPI and LOA score of each sextant.

Statistical analysis

The data evaluation was carried by entering the information manually into the computer and a master table was created in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft 365 version). The total data was categorized accordingly and presented as individual tables. Statistical analysis was carried out by using SPSS version 21.0 (SPSS Pty Ltd, Chicago, IL, USA). Frequency distribution and Chi-square tests at 95% confidence interval was used for analyzing the results. Level of significance was fixed at 5 % ($p < 0.05$).

RESULTS

Demographic Details

Study population comprised of 342 (91.2%) males and 33 (8.8%) females. (Table 1) Study population was categorized according to age ranging from 20-29 years to 60-69 years. Most of the convicts, 133 (35.5%) were aged between 20-29 years age group and only a few, 8 (2.1%) belonged to 60-69 years age group. Detailed description given in **Table 1**.

Study population was further classified according to duration of imprisonment ranging from 1-3years, 4-7years and 8-11years. Maximum prisoners 153 (40.8%) were in jail for 4-

7 years. Detailed description of duration in jail is depicted in **Table 1**.

Data was also obtained on various oral hygiene aids and tobacco habits prevalent among prisoners. Maximum prisoners 106 (28.3%) used finger and toothpaste/toothpowder as cleaning aid while 28 (7.5%) used no oral hygiene aid. Data on tobacco usage showed that 111(29.6%) used smokeless tobacco, 121(32.3%) used smoking tobacco and 27(7.2%) used both forms of tobacco with prevalence of 69.1% tobacco usage among study population.

Table 1. Demographic details of study population.

Variables	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Age		
20-29 Year	133	35.5%
30-39 Year	127	33.9%
40-49 Year	71	18.9%
50-59 Year	36	09.6%
60-69 Year	8	02.1%
Gender		
Male	342	91.2%
Female	33	08.8%
Duration of Imprisonment		
1-3 Year	132	35.2%
4-7 Year	153	40.8%
8-11 Year	90	24.0%
Cleaning Aids		
No Oral Hygiene Aids	28	07.5%
Chew Sticks	59	15.7%
Finger and Charcoal or Salt and Water	92	24.5%
Finger and Toothpaste/ Toothpowder	106	28.3%
Toothbrush and Toothpowder/Toothpaste	90	24.0%
Habits		
No Habit	116	30.9%
Smokeless Tobacco	111	29.6%
Smoking Tobacco	121	32.3%
Both	27	07.2%

CPI score in study population

Community Periodontal Index Score (CPI) and Loss of Attachment Score (LOA) were categorized according to age

and duration of imprisonment. CPI scores range from 0 to 4 with score 0 indicating health; score 1 indicating bleeding observed directly or by using mouth mirror, after probing;

score 2 indicating calculus detected during probing. Score 3 indicating pocket of 4-5 mm and score 4 indicating pocket of 6mm or more. [11].

Age wise classification of CPI scores showed following results: in age groups 20-29 years and 30-39 years maximum prisoners 56(14.93%) and 49(13.1%), respectively obtained score 1 indicating presence of bleeding after probing; in age group 40-49 years maximum prisoners 23(6.1%) obtained score 3 indicating presence of pocket of 4-5mm, while in age group of 50-59 years and 60-69 years maximum prisoners (16 and 7 respectively) obtained score 4 indicating pocket greater than 6mm on probing. Detailed description of CPI scores classified according to age is given in **Table 2**.

Statistically significant difference was obtained on comparison of CPI score with age; p value obtained was 0.001.

CPI scores were also classified according to length of stay in prison. Among prisoners residing in jail for 1-3 years, maximum 47 (12.53%) had a CPI score of 2. Among those residing between 4-7 years, maximum 56(14.93%) got a score of 1 and among those between 8-11 years, maximum 26(6.9%) had a CPI score of 2. Detailed description given in **Table 3**.

There was statistically significant difference between CPI scores and duration of imprisonment, p=0.019.

Table 2. CPI and LOA score according to age.

Criteria	20-29 Years	30-39 Years	40-49 Years	50-59 Years	60-69 Years	Total	Chi square value	p value
CPI Score								
0: Healthy	18 (13.53%)	6 (4.72%)	5 (7.04%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	29 (7.73%)	137.65	0.001*
1: Bleeding	56 (42.1%)	49 (38.58%)	8 (11.27%)	4 (11.11%)	0 (0%)	117 (31.2%)		
2: Calculus	44 (33.08%)	43 (33.86%)	21 (29.58%)	8 (22.22%)	1 (12.5%)	116 (30.93%)		
3: Pocket 4-5mm	13 (9.77%)	18 (14.17%)	23 (32.39%)	7 (19.44%)	0 (0%)	61 (16.27%)		
4: Pocket>6mm	2 (1.5%)	12 (9.45%)	14 (19.72%)	16 (44.44%)	7 (87.5%)	51 (13.6%)		
5: Excluded	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (2.78%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.27%)		
TOTAL	133 (100%)	127 (100%)	71 (100%)	36 (100%)	8 (100%)	375 (100%)		
LOA Score								
0: LOA 0-3mm	113 (84.96%)	85 (66.93%)	24 (33.8%)	11 (30.55%)	1 (12.5%)	234 (62.4%)	173.28	0.001*
1: LOA 4-5mm	17 (12.78%)	28 (22.05%)	29 (40.84%)	7 (19.44%)	0 (0%)	81 (21.6%)		
2: LOA 6-8mm	3 (2.26%)	9 (7.08%)	11 (15.49%)	14 (38.89%)	1 (12.5%)	38 (10.13%)		
3: LOA 9-12mm	0 (0%)	5 (3.94%)	6 (8.45%)	4 (11.11%)	6 (75%)	21 (5.6%)		
4: LOA>12mm	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (1.40%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (0.27%)		
TOTAL	133 (100%)	127 (100%)	71 (100%)	36 (100%)	8 (100%)	375 (100%)		

p value ≤ 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Table 3. CPI and LOA score according to duration of imprisonment.

Criteria	1-3 Years	4-7 Years	8-11 Years	Total	Chi square value	p value
CPI Score						
0: Healthy	18 (13.64%)	7 (4.58%)	4 (4.44%)	29 (7.73%)	28.43*	0.019*
1: Bleeding	37 (28.03%)	56 (36.60%)	24 (26.67%)	117 (31.2%)		
2: Calculus	47 (35.06%)	43 (28.10%)	26 (28.89%)	116 (30.9%)		
3: Pocket 4-5mm	16 (12.12%)	29 (18.95%)	16 (17.78%)	61 (16.27%)		
4: Pocket >=6mm	14 (10.61%)	17 (11.11%)	20 (22.22%)	51 (13.6%)		
5: Excluded	0 (0)	1 (0.65%)	0 (0)	1 (0.27%)		
TOTAL	132 (100%)	153 (100%)	90 (100%)	375(100%)		
LOA Score						
0: LOA 0-3mm	85 (64.39%)	100 (65.36%)	49 (54.44%)	234 (62.4%)	28.76*	0.004*
1: LOA 4-5mm	33 (0.25%)	30 (19.61%)	18 (0.2%)	81 (21.6%)		
2: LOA 6-8mm	9 (6.82%)	14 (9.15%)	15 (16.67%)	38 (10.13%)		
3: LOA 9-12mm	4 (3.01%)	9 (5.88%)	8 (8.89%)	21 (5.6%)		
4: LOA >12mm	1 (0.76%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0.27%)		
TOTAL	132 (100%)	153 (100%)	90 (100%)	375 (100%)		

p value ≤ 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Loss of Attachment in study population

Loss of attachment was noted immediately after recording CPI score. Loss of attachment gives an estimate of the lifetime accumulated destruction of the periodontal attachment in an individual. The extent of loss of attachment is recorded using the following scores, score 1 indicates 0-3 mm loss of attachment, score 2 indicates LOA of 4-5mm, score 3 a LOA of 6-8mm, score of 4 shows LOA of 9-12mm and score 5 a >12mm LOA. [11].

Considering all age groups, maximum prisoners 234(62.4%) had a score of 0, indicating LOA of 0-3mm. detailed description in **Table 2**. There was statistically significant difference between LOA scores and age with $p=0.019$.

According to duration of imprisonment, in each category, maximum LOA score obtained was also 0 (**Table 3**). There was statistically significant difference between LOA scores and duration of imprisonment with p value=0.004.

There was no statistically significant difference between gender and CPI, LOA scores with p value= 0.86 and 0.96, respectively.

DISCUSSION

Present study was done among prison inmates of Durg Central Jail. Demographic details of the study comprised of 342(91.2%) males and 33(8.8%) females. Demographic analysis showed that maximum prisoners 153 (40.8%) were imprisoned for duration of 4-7 years. Only 90(24%) inmates of the study population use toothbrush and toothpaste/toothpowder as cleaning aid and the prevalence of tobacco use was 259(69%).

Data on oral hygiene aids reveal that most of the prison inmates, 106 (28.3%) use finger along with toothpaste/toothpowder which is in accordance with the study conducted by in Mathura, Jaipur and Brazil respectively [15-17]. Whereas, the studies carried out by Nobile [4] and Osborn [12] observed that 96% and 86% of inmates use toothbrush and toothpaste, highlighting the behavior of Jail authorities towards prisoners' oral health. Also, the study conducted by Reddy [14] had 66% prisoners

using toothbrush and 29% prisoners using finger as oral hygiene aid.

In the present study, 119(29.6%) used smokeless tobacco; 121(32.3%) used smoking tobacco and 27(7.2%) use both forms. In a study done by Yunus [14] in 2019, it was found that 8.1% used smoking form of tobacco, 54.6% used smokeless tobacco and 19.5% used both forms of tobacco among bidi rolling workers in Durg district. Also, in epidemiological review done by Spaulding [13] it was found that the prevalence of smoking pattern among prison population to be very high in comparison to general population among Indian men (75% vs 11%). Results obtained in present study is in accordance with the data obtained by Spaulding [13].

Prevalence of periodontal disease in the study was observed to be 92.3%. According to a survey done in Durg- Bhilai area in 2016, 27.5% individuals belonging to age group of 35-44 years suffered from bleeding on probing. [19]. Similarly, according to the present study 38.6% individuals grouped under 30-39 years age group suffered from bleeding on probing. Thus, there is a need to educate and improve the personal hygiene methods along with making provisions for dental treatments in the study population.

Study done by Dhanker [15] in Mathura found variations among inmates mostly due to prison system, food habits, literacy rate, type of stressors and access to medical and/or dental health care services, if any. These factors can contribute for variations of periodontal status in our study as well.

Present study shows that 29(7.7%) inmates had a CPI score of 0 indicating healthy periodontal status, which resembles the study done by Nobile [4]; while only 1(0.2%) inmate had a CPI score of 5 [4]. Most of the prisoners 117(31.2%) had a CPI score of 1 which is in agreement with the study of [5].

Prisoners with CPI Score of 1 were majorly from age groups of 20-29 years and 30-39 years, (together, 105 (89.74%)), which is not in accordance with the study conducted by Dhanker [15] who found the overall maximum CPI score to be 2.

The criteria of periodontal pocket 4-5 mm (CPI score 3) were found to be maximum in the age group 40-49 years with 23(37.7%). Likewise, CPI score of 4 was maximum of 16(31.4%) shown by age group 50-59 years. This shows decline in periodontal condition with increasing age which is in agreement with the study done by [5,12,18].

According to the data collected, CPI score of 1 showed maximum prisoners of the 4-7 years duration and CPI score of 4 presented majority of the prisoners serving a sentence of 8-11 years. This represents that there was worsening of periodontal condition with increase in duration of imprisonment which is in accordance with the study done by Singh [20].

Majority of the study population, 234(62.4%) inmates had a loss of attachment of 0-3mm, out of which 113(30.13%) inmates were in the age group of 20-29 years. Only 1 (0.26%) inmate had a LOA score 4 and belonged to the age group of 40-49 years which is in accordance with the Dhanker [15].

There was a significant difference observed in CPI and LOA scores among prisoners with respect to duration of imprisonment with p value obtained as 0.019 and 0.004 respectively which is also similar to the data observed in the study conducted by Dhanker [15].

RECOMMENDATIONS

- (1) It is recommended to provide proper preventive care along with necessary treatment along with necessary treatment to the inmates and regular check-ups.
- (2) Further longitudinal studies should be carried out in order to see changes in the oral hygiene behavior among the prisoners.
- (3) Provision of dental chair and the required equipment for a comprehensive treatment therapy should be made by the jail authorities.

CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of the study, it is concluded that most of the prisoners were having bleeding on probing (CPI score 1) indicating need for preventive care for the prison inmates.

The data provides us with a baseline data for further treatment programs.

The present study shows the shortfall in our system in order to cope up with the problems faced by the prisoners. Provision of tobacco counsellors, dentists and adequate funding should be provided on a regular basis.

REFERENCES

1. Dental Health Foundation, Ireland. Link between oral & general health. Available online at: <https://www.dentalhealth.ie/your-oral-health/the-healthy-mouth/links-between-oral--general-health/>
2. Newman M, Takei H, Klokkevold P, Carranza F (2009) Carranza's Clinical Periodontology. 10th edition. Noida: Elsevier. Influence of Systemic Disorders and Stress on the Periodontium. pp: 284
3. Vinnakota NR, Bommireddy VS, Pachava S, Ravoori S, Talluri D, et al. (2016) Assessment of periodontal health among jail inmates of Guntur City Andhra Pradesh: A cross-sectional study. J Dr NTR Univ Health Sci 5: 200-203.
4. Nobile CGA, Fortunato L, Pavia M, Catanzaro-Italo FA (2007) Oral Health Status of male Prisoners in Italy. Int Dental J 57: 27-35.

5. Dayakar MM, Shivprasad D, Pai PG (2014) Assessment of periodontal health status: A cross sectional survey. *J Indian Soc Periodontol* 18: 74-77.
6. The Pioneer. State edition, Raipur. 19,372 prisoners in C'garh jails against capacity of 12,281. The Pioneer. Available online at: <https://www.dailypioneer.com/2018/state-editions/19372-prisoners-in-cgarh-jails-against-capacity-of-12281.html>
7. National Informatics Centre, Chhattisgarh. Jails of Chhattisgarh. Available online at: <http://jail.cg.gov.in/jails.htm>
8. Akaji E A, Ashiwaju M O (2014) Oral Health status of a sample of Prisoners in Enugu: A Disadvantaged Population. *Ann Med Health Sci Res* 4: 650-653.
9. Nagarale RG, Nagarale G, Shetty P J, Prasad KVVV (2014) Oral Health Status and Treatment Needs of Prisoners of Dharwad, India. *Int J Dent Health Sci* 1: 849-860.
10. Kumar J, Collins AC, Alam MM (2017) Oral Health Status of Prisoners in India: A Systemic Review. *Saudi J Oral Dent Res* 2: 140-146.
11. Peter S (2006) Essentials of Preventive and Community Dentistry. 5th edn. New Delhi: Arya Medi Publishing House Pvt. Ltd; 2006. Indices in Dental Epidemiology. pp: 503-504.
12. Osborn M, Butler T, Barnard PD (2003) Oral health status of prison inmates -New South Wales, Australia. *Aust Dent J* 48: 34-38.
13. Spaulding A, Eldridge DG, Chico EtC, Morisseau N, Drobeniuc A, et al. (2018) Smoking in Correctional Settings Worldwide: Prevalence, Bans, and Interventions. *Epidemiol* 40: 82-95.
14. Yunus GY, Sahni H, Naveen N, Tiwari R, Vasant B, et al. (2019) "Tobacco" - The silent slayer for oral premalignant lesions/ conditions among beedi rolling workers of Durg City, Chhattisgarh, India: A cross-sectional study. *J Indian Assoc Public Health Dent* 17: 119-124.
15. Dhanker K, Ingle NA, Kaur N, Gupta R (2013) Oral Health Status and Treatment Needs of Inmates in District Jail of Mathura City - A Cross Sectional Study. *J Oral Health Comm Dent* 7: 24-33.
16. Anup N, Biswas G, Vishnani P, Tambi S, Acharaya S, et al. (2014) Oral health status and treatment needs of inmates in district Jail of Jaipur City - A cross sectional study. *J Nurs Health Sci* 3(3): 22-31.
17. Gaião RL, de Almeida LME, Filho BJG, Leggat P, Heukelbach J (2009) Poor dental status and oral hygiene practices in institutionalized older people in northeast Brazil. *Int J Dent* 2009: 846081.
18. Reddy V, Kondareddy CV, Siddana S, Manjunath M (2012) A survey on oral health status and treatment needs of life imprisoned inmates in central jails of Karnataka, India. *Int Dent J* 62(1): 27-32.
19. Dubey R, Chowdary HV, Yunus GY, Tiwari R, Sharma H, et al. (2016) Prevalence of tooth loss and periodontal health among rural middle-aged and elderly population of Durg, Chhattisgarh: A comparative study. *Arch Dent Med Res* 2(4): 1-8.
20. Singh P, Saha S, Singh S, Jagannath GV (2012) Nature of Crime, Duration of Stay, Parafunctional Habits and Periodontal Status in Prisoners. *J Oral Health Comm Dent* 6(3): 131-134.