Journal of Otolaryngology and Neurotology Research

JONR, 3(1): 73-75 www.scitcentral.com **Sci Tech** ISSN: 2641-6956

Perspective: Open Access

New Perspective: Are Nasal Function İmpairment and Nasal Airflow Affected by Omalizumab Therapy in Patients with Allergic Rhinitis and Nasal Polyps?

Arzu Didem Yalcin^{1*}, Rusen Uzun¹, Murat Toprak², Bülent Tutluoglu³ and Bert Schmelzer⁴

^{*1}Department of Internal Medicine, Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Academia Sinica, Genomics Research Center, 11529, Taipei, Taiwan ²Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Istanbul University, Turkey

³University of Acı Badem, Istanbul, Turkey

⁴Department of Otorhinolaryngology, ZNA Middelheim, 2020 Antwerpen, Belcium.

Received October 23, 2019; Accepted October 29, 2019; Published March 26, 2020

INTRODUCTION

The human nose is an important and complex organ with many functions, including ventilation, filtration and olfaction. People who suffer from nasal function impairment have a reduced overall quality of life. Nasal Obstruction (NO) is defined as the subjective perception of discomfort or difficulty in the passage of air through the nostrils, and it is thought that this sensation is physiologically pathologically generated in the trigeminal sensory receptors of the nasal mucosa. The terms obstruction and nasal congestion may be used as synonyms, although obstruction usually refers to the subjective sensation of irreversible blockage. Nasal Obstruction (NO) is a symptom that is frequently reported by patients in primary care and otorhinolaryngology and allergy consultations [1-4]. Although there are no precise data, it is estimated that the condition may affect at least 30%-40% of the general population [1,2].

NO is an annoying symptom that greatly affects Quality of Life (QOL), predisposes to and exacerbates lower airway diseases, alters night-time rest, decreases work efficiency, and aggravates sleep apnea [1,4,5]. Diagnosis of its specific cause is essential to be able to select the appropriate therapy. The enormous social and health care expenditure that it entails results from factors such as incorrect diagnoses and/or unsuitable treatment [1,5,6].

NO is frequently reported by patients in primary care and in specialized care. It has a huge impact on patients' QOL and especially on sleep quality. As it can be caused by various factors, a complete medical history and clinical examination are the main basis of etiological diagnosis. Furthermore, although both the pattern and location can suggest the underlying disease, objective and subjective assessment tools are useful for evaluation of NO [1-3].

The pathophysiology of Allergic rhinitis is a complex inflammation with numerous cytokines and inflammatory mediators, although Allergic rhinitis symptoms are recurrent and the airway inflammation in Allergic rhinitis is persistent. The airway inflammation pattern appears to be similar in all clinical forms of asthma and in all age groups, including allergic, non-allergic or aspirin-induced. Mast cells, eosinophils, T lymphocytes, dendritic cells (DCs), macrophages and neutrophils are the primary cells involved in the inflammatory processes. Immunoglobulin E (IgE) plays a central role in the pathophysiology of asthma, as in other allergic diseases, such as urticaria, food allergy and allergic rhinitis [7-10]. In the early allergic phase, the allergen is first taken up by the DCs in the body and processed to present to antigen-specific T-cells. During this process, T lymphocytes transforming into Th 2 phenotype provide the development of IgE-producing B cells. The crystallized Fc portion of the free IgE binds to the highaffinity IgE receptor (FceRI) on the basophils and mast cells. Mast cells with IgE bound to the FcERI receptors on the surface are activated through allergen exposure [8-10].

The efficacy of humanized anti-IgE monoclonal antibody (omalizumab) was first evaluated in a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled study of 221 patients with seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis [11]. That study showed that

Corresponding author: Arzu Didem Yalcin MD, Department of Internal Medicine Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Academia Sinica, Genomics Research Center 11529, Taipei, Taiwan, E-mail: adidyal@yahoo.com

Citation: Yalcin AD, Uzun R, Toprak M, Tutluoglu B & Schmelzer B. (2020) New Perspective: Are Nasal Function İmpairment and Nasal Airflow Affected by Omalizumab Therapy in Patients with Allergic Rhinitis and Nasal Polyps? J Otolaryngol Neurotol Res, 3(1): 73-72.

Copyright: ©2020 Yalcin AD, Uzun R, Toprak M, Tutluoglu B & Schmelzer B. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

significant symptomatic relief in up to 48% of the combination treatment group (specific immunotherapy [SIT]+omalizumab), compared to the SIT group alone. A randomized study in Japan revealed a significant improvement in daily nasal (nasal congestion, serous discharge, sneezing, itching) and eye symptoms (itching, flushing, serous discharge) in patients with seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis receiving omalizumab [12].

In 2007, a randomized placebo-controlled study of eight patients was the first to report reduced rates of postoperative polyp recurrence in patients with atopic asthma and nasal polyps (NP) [13]. In a study of 19 patients with severe asthma and NP in 2011, Vennera et al. [14] reported symptom reduction and disease stabilization with the use of omalizumab treatment. In addition, Tajiri et al. [15] evaluated omalizumab in patients with severe asthma and NP and reported significant improvements in nasal symptoms, asthma control, and sinus tomography results. However, not all studies have been able to show the beneficial effects of the treatment. In a randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled study of patients with chronic rhinosinusitis receiving omalizumab, Pinto et al. [16] showed improvement in the Sino-Nasal Outcome Test (SNOT-20) scores at 3, 5 and 6 months, although there was no significant difference in the scores compared to the control group.

The human nose is a complex organ that shows large morphological variations and has many important functions. However, the relationship between shape and function is not yet fully understood. In a previous study, a high quality statistical shape model of the human nose was presented based on clinical CT data of 46 patients. A technique based on cylindrical parametrization was used to create a correspondence between the nasal shapes of the population. Applying principal component analysis to these corresponding nasal cavities resulted in highly accurate average nasal geometry and geometrical variations, known as principal components, present in the population. The analysis resulted in 46 principal components, accounting for 95% of the total geometric variation captured. These variations are first discussed qualitatively, and the effect on the average nasal shape of the first five principal components is visualized. Hereafter, by using this statistical shape model, two application examples that lead to quantitative data are shown: nasal shape in function of age and gender, and a morphometric analysis of different anatomical regions. Shape models, such as the one presented here, can help in the better understanding of nasal shape and variation and their relationship with demographic data [17]. These findings suggest that humanised monoclonal antibody treatments (OMALIZUMAB) could show an effect on nasal mucosa because of the anti-inflammatory effects at the mast cell level.

Previous studies have suggested that omalizumab is a novel and promising agent for the long-term control of allergic asthma [7-10,18-22] and have also documented the clinical effect of Th1/2 cytokines, pro-inflammatory proteins (CD200), IL-22, IL-10RB, IL-25 and IL-33 signaling in the pathogenesis of SPA [18-22]. Omalizumab treatment has been observed to not only improve asthma symptoms but also allergic rhinoconjunctivitis symptoms, thereby improving quality of life for patients and nasal and pulmonary airflow.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Omalizumab treatment may play a role in the regulation of nasal airflow, reducing the need for topical steroids. However, large-scale, prospective, randomized clinical studies are needed to establish a definite conclusion.

REFERENCES

- Valero A, Navarro AM, Del Cuvillo A, Alobid I, Benito JR, et al. (2018) SEAIC Rhinoconjunctivitis Committee & SEORL Rhinology, Allergy and Skull Base Committee. Position paper on nasal obstruction: Evaluation and treatment. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol 28: 67-90.
- 2. Wever C (2016) The nasal airway: A critical review. Facial Plast Surg 32: 17-21.
- 3. Osborn JL, Sacks R (2013) Nasal obstruction. Am J Rhinol Allerg 27: 7-8.
- Migueis DP, Thuler LC, Lemes LN, Moreira CS, Joffily L, et al. (2016) Systematic review: The influence of nasal obstruction on sleep apnea. Br J Otorhinolaryngol 82: 223-231.
- 5. Toh ST, Lin CH, Guilleminault C (2012) Usage of fourphase high resolution rhinomanometry and measurement of nasal resistance in sleep-disordered breathing. Laryngoscope 122: 2343-2349.
- 6. OCEBM Levels of Evidence Working Group (2011) The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence. Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.
- Yalcin AD, Bisgin A, Cetinkaya R, Yildirim M, Gorczynski RM (2013) Clinical course and side effects of anti-IgE monoclonal antibody in patients with severe persistent asthma. Clin Lab 59: 71-77.
- 8. Yalcin AD (2015) Advances in anti-IgE therapy. Biomed Res Int 317465: 1-11.
- 9. Du Buske LM (2006) IgE, allergic diseases and omalizumab Curr Pharm Des 12: 3929-3944.
- Yorgancioglu A, Oner Erkekol F, Mungan D, Erdinc M, Gemicioglu B, et al. (2018) Long-term omalizumab treatment: A multicenter, real-life, 5 year trial. Int Arch Allerg Immunol 176: 3-4.

- 11. Navines-Ferrer A, Serrano-Candelas E, Molina-Molina GJ, Martín M (2016) IgE related chronic diseases and anti-IgE based treatments. J Immunol Res 8163803: 1-12.
- 12. Okubo K, Nagakura T (2008) Anti-IgE antibody therapy for Japanese cedar pollinosis: Omalizumab update. Allergol Int 57: 205-209.
- 13. Hong CJ, Tsang AC, Quinn JG, Bonaparte JP, Stevens A, et al. (2015) Anti-IgE monoclonal antibody therapy for the treatment of chronic rhinosinusitis: A systematic review. Syst Rev 4:166.
- 14. Vennera Mdel C, Picado C, Mullol J, Alobid I, Bernal-Sprekelsen M, et al. (2011) Efficacy of omalizumab in the treatment of nasal polyps. Thorax 66: 824-825.
- 15. Tajiri T, Matsumoto H, Hiraumi H, Ikeda H, Morita K, et al. (2013) Efficacy of omalizumab in eosinophilic chronic rhinosinusitis patients with asthma. Ann Allerg Asthma Immunol 110: 387-388.
- Pinto JM, Mehta N, Di Tineo M, Wang J, Baroody FM, et al. (2010) A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial of anti-IgE for chronic rhinosinusitis. Rhinology 48: 318-324.
- 17. Keustermans W, Huysmans T,Danckaers F, Zarowski A, Schmelzer B, et al (2018) High quality statistical shape modelling of the human nasal cavity and applications. R Soc Open Sci 5: 181558.
- 18. Yalcin AD, Bulut T, Celik B, Genc GE, Gocmen AY, et al. (2018) Are thermogenic proteins and adipokine chimerin affected by monoclonal antibody therapy in asthma? Eurasian J Pulmonol.
- Yalcin AD, Ucar S, Gumuslu S, Strauss LG (2013) Effects of omalizumab on eosinophil cationic peptide, 25-hydroxyvitamin-D, IL-1β and sCD200 in cases of Samter's syndrome: 36 months follow-up. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol 35: 524-527.
- 20. Yalcin AD, Celik B, Yalcin AN (2016) Omalizumab (anti-IgE) therapy in the asthma-COPD overlap syndrome (ACOS) and its effects on circulating cytokine levels. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol 38: 253-256.
- 21. Yalcin AD (2019) Omalizumab significantly changes serum IL-25 and IL-33 levels in responsive patients with severe persistent allergic asthma. Chest 155: 21A.
- 22. Yalcin AD, Cilli A, Bisgin A, Strauss LG, Herth F (2013) Omalizumab is effective in treating severe asthma in patients with severe cardiovascular complications and its effects on sCD200, d-dimer, CXCL8, 25-hydroxyvitamin D and IL-1β levels. Expert Opin Biol Ther 13: 1335-1341.