Archive of Obstetrics, Gynecology and **Reproductive Medicine**

AOGRM, 6(3): 220-225 www.scitcentral.com



Case Report: Open Access

Choriocarcinoma after a Nonmolar Spontaneous Abortion in a Low Resource Setting

Sowjanya Kurakula^{1*}, Maheshwari Nallur Siddaraju², Varalakshmi Kumarswamy³, Sanjana Nalla D⁴ and Abhijna Rao Kompella⁵

^{*1}Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Former Head, Sekgoma Memorial Hospital, Serowe, Botswana, Africa; 4610 Birchwood Ct, North Brunswick, NJ, USA

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Bangalore Medical College and Research Institute, Bengaluru, India

³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Raja Rajeshwari Medical Hospital - Rajiv Gandhi University, Bengaluru, India

⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Lake Erie College of Osteopathic Medicine, Pennsylvania, USA

⁵ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Srinivasa Maternity and General Nursing Home, Hyderabad, India.

Received July 09, 2023; Accepted July 14, 2023; Published July 17, 2023

ABSTRACT

Choriocarcinoma is a rare and aggressive gestational trophoblastic neoplasm that typically arises from molar pregnancies or following spontaneous abortions. We present a case of a 43-year-old woman with painless continuous bleeding for two months, one year after a spontaneous 8-week abortion. The diagnosis was confirmed through histopathological analysis, revealing characteristic trophoblastic cell abnormalities. This case highlights the importance of performing a clinical examination for any patient with abnormal vaginal bleeding. Early recognition and appropriate referral are crucial for optimal treatment outcomes in this rare and potentially aggressive malignancy.

Keywords: Gestational trophoblastic neoplasia, Nonmolar gestation, Abnormal vaginal bleeding, Human chorionic gonadotropin, Choriocarcinoma

INTRODUCTION

Trophoblastic tumors encompass a spectrum of conditions ranging from benign or pre-malignant tumors, including hydatidiform mole, placental site nodule, and placental site exaggeration, to more aggressive malignant lesions such as choriocarcinoma, placental site tumor, and invasive mole [1].

Choriocarcinoma can be classified into two distinct types: gestational and non-gestational choriocarcinoma and is characterized by elevated levels of beta-human chorionic gonadotropin in the blood produced by the malignant trophoblastic cells. Around 25% of gestational choriocarcinoma cases arise from the transformation of a molar pregnancy, while 50% can occur after a full-term pregnancy. Choriocarcinoma can manifest months or even several years after a prior pregnancy, often affecting individuals of their childbearing age [2]. Choriocarcinoma presents diverse clinical manifestations, including abnormal vaginal bleeding and metastatic symptoms [3].

Metastasis of choriocarcinoma is commonly observed in the lungs and occasionally in the brain. The success of chemotherapy varies depending on the stage of choriocarcinoma, with higher-stage cases necessitating a combination of surgery and additional chemotherapy [4]. The prognosis of gestational choriocarcinoma is generally more favorable when compared to the non-gestational form, primarily due to the positive outcomes achieved through multiple-agent chemotherapy regimens [3].

CASE REPORT

A 43-year-old P2L2A1, presented to the gynecology office

Corresponding author: Sowjanya Kurakula, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Former Head, Sekgoma Memorial Hospital, Serowe, Botswana, Africa; 4610 Birchwood Ct, North Brunswick, NJ, USA, Tel: +13852275813; E-mail: drksowjanya@gmail.com

Citation: Kurakula S, Siddaraju MN, Kumarswamy V, Nalla DS & Kompella AR. (2023) Choriocarcinoma after a Nonmolar Spontaneous Abortion in a Low Resource Setting. Arch Obstet Gynecol Reprod Med, 6(3): 220-225.

Copyright: ©2023 Kurakula S, Siddaraju MN, Kumarswamy V, Nalla DS & Kompella AR. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

with minimal continuous bleeding for two months. She had a spontaneous 8-week abortion one year ago. Her ongoing bleeding was painless, with minimal flow preceded by regular menstrual cycles. There was no history of molar pregnancy, recurrent abortions, or hormonal medication intake in the past. She never used contraception in her lifetime. Her family had no history of inherited cancers or genetic disorders. She attained menarche at age 12. Her past menstrual cycles were regular on 3/28, painful, with moderate flow. Both deliveries were full-term spontaneous vaginal deliveries ten years and five years ago. She had no

previous medical or surgical history. In the past, there was no history of food or drug allergies or substance abuse.

Her vitals were normal. Chest auscultation revealed normal vesicular breath sounds and S1 and S2. Physical examination revealed that the rest of the organ systems, such as respiratory, neurological, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, urinary, and bilateral extremities, were normal. Her abdomen was soft with no organomegaly or free fluid. A speculum examination revealed a flat, irregular fragile mass measuring >4cm along the cervical canal (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Per speculum examination reveals hemorrhagic mass protruding through cervix.

The external cervical rim was intact, in addition to bleeding on touch. Bimanual examination revealed an anteverted, bulky, and freely mobile uterus and fornices free. The mass was fragile, soft in consistency, hemorrhagic, with a broad base pedicle, and could be removed digitally (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Portion of the mass could be removed manually and sent for histopathological examination.

Per rectal examination revealed a mobile bulky cervix and uterus and no uterosacral nodularity.

The urine pregnancy test was positive several times during the evaluation. Ultrasonography pelvis report suggested uterine fibroid with no evidence of adnexal pathology or free fluid. Hematological investigations were normal limits. Human chorionic gonadotropin titers increased weekly with a baseline value of 1,57,500 mIU/ml. No abnormalities were detected on the chest x-ray. While the patient was waiting for her computed tomography appointment for metastasis evaluation, her histopathological results revealed the presence of areas of abnormal intermediate trophoblast and cytotrophoblast, surrounded by syncytiotrophoblasts and absent chorionic villi confirming choriocarcinoma. She was referred to an oncology tertiary care center for further management.

DISCUSSION

A gestational trophoblastic disease is a diverse group of pregnancy-related disorders distinguished by abnormal growth of trophoblastic tissue. With the advent of firsttrimester ultrasounds, the clinical presentation has shifted towards earlier detection. While many patients remain asymptomatic, vaginal bleeding is the predominant initial symptom in most cases [5]. In addition to postpartum abnormal vaginal bleeding, choriocarcinoma can manifest with various clinical presentations. These may include bleeding from metastatic sites such as the liver, spleen, intestines, lung, or brain, as well as pulmonary symptoms and neurological signs resulting from metastasis to the spine or brain [3].

Approximately 50% of choriocarcinoma cases stem from preexisting complete hydatidiform moles. Spontaneous abortions contribute to 20% of the remaining cases, while ectopic pregnancies represent approximately 2.5%-3%. Normal pregnancies account for 25%-30% of choriocarcinoma occurrences [6]. In North America and Europe, the incidence of choriocarcinoma is reported to be approximately 1 in 40,000 pregnancies. On the other hand, in Southeast Asia and Japan, the incidence rates are notably higher, with figures of 9.2 and 3.3 per 40,000 pregnancies, respectively [3].

Only a few studies are reported in the literature on cases of choriocarcinoma following a nonmolar spontaneous abortion. In a study of data on 100 women with choriocarcinoma between 1985 and 1994, 32 were preceded by a nonmolar abortion. Of these cases mortality rate was 21% after a live birth versus 6% after a nonmolar abortion [7].

In cases where choriocarcinoma tissue was analyzed using short tandem repeat genetic test, a study revealed that nonmolar pregnancy, without any prior history of molar pregnancies, was identified as the underlying cause of highrisk gestational trophoblastic neoplasia [8]. Occasionally, gestational trophoblastic neoplasia may occur after an ectopic molar pregnancy [9]. A pure non-gestational ovarian choriocarcinoma has been documented [10]. A study described choriocarcinoma syndrome in a patient who died hepatic of hemorrhagic metastatic lesions. The histopathology of the abdominopelvic mass revealed choriocarcinoma from an undescended testis [11].

Performing routine microscopic examinations on all placentas and products of conception can enhance the accurate detection of intraplacental choriocarcinoma and improves management strategies as described in a study [12]. Our patient with a positive pregnancy test reported continuous bleeding for two months, where our provisional diagnosis was incomplete abortion before the clinical examination. Similar to our case presentation, a study describes a postpartum woman with continuous bleeding for five months with choriocarcinoma treated with multi-agent chemotherapy [13].

Limitations of our report include an inadequate evaluation and follow-up of the patient due to the heavy patient turnover at the district hospital. Histopathological confirmation remains the gold standard investigation for diagnosing choriocarcinoma [14].

Table 1 describes the FIGO staging and classification for gestational trophoblastic neoplasia.

Table 2 describesWorld Health Organization scoringsystem based on prognostic factors modified as FIGO score.

Table 3 details the Protocol for Treatment of GTN.

FIGO stage	Description
Ι	Gestational trophoblastic tumors strictly confined to the uterine corpus
II	Gestational trophoblastic tumors extending to the adnexa or to the vagina, but limited to the genital structures
III	Gestational trophoblastic tumors extending to the lungs, with or without genital tract involvement
IV	All other metastatic sites

Table 1. FIGO staging and classification for gestational trophoblastic neoplasia.

Consideration to preserve fertility comes foremost in-patient management with low-risk gestational trophoblastic neoplasia. A study revealed that fertility-preserving surgeries performed for placental site trophoblastic tumor, hysteroscopic resection, laparoscopic resection, and uterine evacuation were considered a safe therapeutic choice in women of the childbearing age group [16].

For low-risk cases of choriocarcinoma, fertility-preserving procedures, chemotherapy, and hysterectomy are employed for selected patients. Choriocarcinoma metastasizes primarily to the lungs, with a lesser propensity for spreading to the brain. In an analysis spanning from 1985 to 2013, it was observed that for Stage I choriocarcinoma, 83% of patients were successfully cured through the use of singleagent chemotherapy. The remaining 17% achieved complete remission with the help of additional chemotherapy or hysterectomy. In the case of Stage II through IV choriocarcinoma, all patients were cured; however, it necessitated the combination of surgery and additional chemotherapy [4].

FIGO score	0	1	2	4
Age	<40	>40	-	-
Antecedent pregnancy	Mole	Abortion	Term	
Interval from index pregnancy, months	<4	4-6	7-12	>12
Pretreatment hCG mIU/ml	<103	>103-104	>104-105	>105
Largest tumor size including uterus a, cm	-	3-4	≥5	-
Site of metastases including uterus	Lung	Spleen, kidney	Gastrointestinal tract	Brain, liver
Number of metastases identified	-	1-4	5-8	>8
Previous failed chemotherapy	-	-	Single drug	Two or more drugs
		Notes		

• To stage and allot a risk factor score, a patient's diagnosis is allocated to a Stage as represented by a Roman numeral I, II, III, or IV. This is then separated by a colon from the sum of all the actual risk factor scores expressed in Arabic numerals e.g. Stage II: 4, Stage IV: 9. This Stage and score will be allotted for each patient.

a Size of the tumor in the uterus [3].

Stage I

Table 3. P	Protocol for	Treatment	of	GTN.
------------	--------------	-----------	----	------

Stuger	
Initial	Single-agent chemotherapy or hysterectomy with adjunctive chemotherapy
	Combination chemotherapy
Resistant	Hysterectomy with adjunctive chemotherapy
Resistant	Local resection
	Pelvic infusion
Stages II and III	
Low risk (local resection optional)	
Initial	Single-agent chemotherapy
Resistant	Combination chemotherapy
High risk (local resection optional)	
Initial	Combination chemotherapy
Resistant	Second-line combination chemotherapy
Stage IV	
Initial	Combination chemotherapy
Brain	Whole-head radiation (3,000 cGy)
Dram	Craniotomy to manage complications
Liver	Resection or embolization to manage complications
Resistant (local resection optional)	Second-line combination chemotherapy
Resistant (local resolution optional)	Hepatic arterial infusion

A higher risk score of 5-6 and a clinicopathologic diagnosis of choriocarcinoma are correlated with an elevated risk of developing resistance to single-agent chemotherapy. Highrisk gestational trophoblastic neoplasia is effectively treated using multiple-agent chemotherapy regimens. The widely utilized regimen is EMA-CO, which combines etoposide, methotrexate, actinomycin D, cyclophosphamide, and vincristine. Notably, the overall survival rates for patients with high-risk gestational trophoblastic neoplasia have reached impressive levels, with rates as high as 95% [3]. Few studies revealed successful pregnancy outcomes in stage III gestational trophoblastic neoplasia cases and metastatic choriocarcinoma after multi-agent chemotherapy [17,18].

CONCLUSION

Gestational trophoblastic neoplasia, particularly choriocarcinoma, presents with varied clinical manifestations, including abnormal vaginal bleeding and metastatic symptoms. To provide optimal care to patients with gestational trophoblastic disease, healthcare professionals must stay up-to-date with the latest diagnostic and management guidelines.

The success of chemotherapy varies depending on the stage of choriocarcinoma, with higher-stage cases requiring a combination of surgery and additional chemotherapy. Understanding the various factors and presentations associated with choriocarcinoma is crucial for accurate diagnosis, effective treatment, and improved patient outcomes. Optimally, the active management of gestational trophoblastic disease (GTD) calls for a multidisciplinary team approach comprising specialists in gynecology, gynecological oncology, medical oncology, pathology, as well as midwives, nurses, and experts from the hCG collaborative laboratory. This approach ensures comprehensive and well-coordinated care for GTD patients.

REFERENCES

1. Stabile G, Scalia MS, Stampalija T, Bruno M, Laganà AS, et al. (2023) Placental Cholangiocarcinoma a Specific Histological Pattern of Uncertain Incidence and Clinical Impact: Systematic Review of the Literature. J Clin Med 12(9): 3065.

- 2. De Lucia DR, Castaldo A, D'Agostino V, Ascione R, Pesce I, et al. (2021) Metastatic choriocarcinoma with hemorrhagic complications and spontaneous ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: A case report. Radiol Case Rep 16(12): 3868-3874.
- Ngan HYS, Seckl MJ, Berkowitz RS, Xiang Y, Golfier F, et al. (2021) Diagnosis and management of gestational trophoblastic disease: 2021 update. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 155(1): 86-93.
- 4. Prabhu IK, Rosenbaum A (2021) Hydatidiform Mole in a Patient with a Concern for Neoplasia: A Case Report. Cureus 12(9): e10319.
- Lok C, Frijstein M, van Trommel N (2021) Clinical presentation and diagnosis of Gestational Trophoblastic Disease. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 74: 42-52.
- Soper JT, Mutch DG, Schink JC (2004) American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Diagnosis and treatment of gestational trophoblastic disease: ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 53. Gynecol Oncol 93(3): 575-585.
- Tidy JA, Rustin GJ, Newlands ES, Foskett M, Fuller S, et al. (1995) Presentation and management of choriocarcinoma after nonmolar pregnancy. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 102(9): 715-719.
- 8. Nishino K, Yamamoto E, Oda Y, Watanabe E, Niimi K, et al. (2021) Short tandem repeat analysis to identify the causative pregnancy of high-risk gestational trophoblastic neoplasia: Molar versus nonmolar pregnancy and its relation to the outcome. Placenta 112: 28-35.
- 9. Dollinger S, Yeoshoua E, Eitan R (2021) A rare case of gestational trophoblastic neoplasia following an ectopic molar pregnancy. Gynecol Oncol Rep 37: 100798.
- Rao KV, Konar S, Gangadharan J, Vikas V, Sampath S (2015) A pure non-gestational ovarian choriocarcinoma with delayed solitary brain metastases: Case report and review of the literature. J Neurosci Rural Pract 6(4): 578-581.
- 11. Baagar K, Khan FY, Alkuwari E (2013) Choriocarcinoma syndrome: A case report and a literature review. Case Rep Oncol Med 2013: 697251.
- Caldas RF, Oliveira P, Rodrigues C, Reis I, Scigliano H, et al. (2017) Intraplacental Choriocarcinoma: Rare or Underdiagnosed? Report of 2 Cases Diagnosed after an Incomplete Miscarriage and a Preterm Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery. Case Rep Med 2017: 7892980.
- 13. Katsanevakis E, Oatham A, Mathew D (2022) Choriocarcinoma After Full-Term Pregnancy: A Case

Report and Review of the Literature. Cureus 14(2): e22200.

- 14. Neelam, Verma M, Agarwal M (2020) Primary uterine choriocarcinoma presented as suspected ectopic pregnancy: A case report. Int J Clin Obstet Gynaecol 4(2): 161-162.
- 15. Berek JS, Berek DL (2020) Berek and Novak's Gynecology. Wolter Kluwer, Philadelphia, PA.
- Chiofalo B, Palmara V, Laganà AS, Triolo O, Vitale SG, et al. (2017) Fertility Sparing Strategies in Patients Affected by Placental Site Trophoblastic Tumor. Curr Treat Options Oncol 18(10): 58.
- 17. Bhonsale A, Fonseca M (2012) Successful pregnancy after chemotherapy for choriocarcinoma. J Obstet Gynaecol India 62(2): 197-198.
- Behtash N, Behnamfar F, Hamedi B, Ramezanzadeh F (2009) Term delivery following successful treatment of choriocarcinoma with brain metastases, a case report and review of literature. Arch Gynecol Obstet 279(4): 579-581.