International Journal of Clinical Case Studies & Reports

IJCCSR, 2(1): 82-85 www.scitcentral.com



ISSN: 2641-5771

Review Article: Open Access

Scientific Literature and Lourdes: The Index Medicus - Notes for a Systematic Review

Gerardo Iuliano

*O.S.D Malattie Demielinizzanti, Multiple Sclerosis Center "P. Ventura", A.O.U. Ospedali Riuniti di Salerno, Salerno, Italy.

Received July 24, 2019; Accepted September 17, 2019; Published January 08, 2020

ABSTRACT

Religious experience, since ancient times, has been associated with the receipt of "graces" or personal benefits, primarily physical health.

The beginning of a scientific observation of these situations can be traced back to the second half of the 19th century, with the experience of the catholic sanctuary of Lourdes.

At present there are not systematic reviews or "evidence based" papers about Lourdes healings.

This paper summarizes a preliminary research about the papers available on Index Medicus - PubMed (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed).

By the keywords "Lourdes", subsequently associated with "Cure", "Heal" and "Case-control", 791 papers were extracted, of which 39 actually concerned the sanctuary and its related activities.

The papers have been classified by type. There are mostly low-level publications, also because many of them are dated (since 1910); quality seems to improve in recent years, even if there are good publications also in the past.

Studies classified as descriptive, more retrospective than transversal, are perhaps the most informative; meta-analysis is not possible, but a further step could be a "narrative" or "critical appraised topics" type review. Implications for practice and strategies are described to raise the quality of scientific literature on this topic.

Keywords: Lourdes, Cures, Healing, Religion, Faith, Systematic review, Evidence-based medicine

INTRODUCTION

Religious experience, since ancient times, has been associated with the receipt of "graces" or personal benefits, primarily physical health.

In polytheistic and animist religions, physical involvement is constant.

Talmud, Koran, Gospels report experiences of healers and healings.

The beginning of a scientific observation of these situations can be traced back to the second half of the 19th century, with the experience of the Catholic sanctuary of Lourdes.

In the assembly of AMIL (Association Médicale Internationale de Lourdes) held on February 11, 2018, 160th anniversary of Lourdes apparitions, commenting on the scientific literature proposed by Dr. A De Franciscis, chairman of Lourdes Medical Bureau, a discussion emerged about the presence of systematic reviews or "evidence based" papers.

This paper summarizes the current state of a preliminary research in this direction.

METHODS

At present there are many archives of medical literature, all available online; historically, the best known were Index Medicus (PubMed) and Excerpta Medica (Embase).

In this first approach, the research was conducted on PubMed, available (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed).

In the database search, the main keyword was "Lourdes", subsequently associated with "Cure", "Heal" and "Casecontrol".

It should be noted that many important books, recent or not,

Corresponding author: Gerardo Iuliano, MD, Neurologist, Formerly Chairman, U.O.S.D Malattie Demielinizzanti, Multiple Sclerosis Center "P. Ventura", A.O.U. Ospedali Riuniti di Salerno, Salerno, Italy, E-mail: geriul@tin.it

Citation: Iuliano G. (2020) Scientific Literature and Lourdes: The Index Medicus - Notes for a Systematic Review. Int J Clin Case Stud Rep, 2(1): 82-85.

Copyright: ©2020 Iuliano G. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

mostly published in non-scientific editions (G. Bertrin (1912), G. Boissarie (1911, 1912, 1922), R. Cranston (1988), T. Mangiapan (1997), R. Harris (2008)), are not listed in PubMed.

On March 11, 2018, with the term "Lourdes" 791 papers were extracted, of which 38 actually concerned the sanctuary and its related activities.

The association with "cures" extracted 8 papers, all already included in the previous selection; the one with "healing", 29, 15 relevant, of which only 1 was not included in the general selection.

Among the 64 articles extracted by associating "Lourdes" and "case-control", no one was referred to the sanctuary.

For this reason, even if the attempt remains to select the best evidences, made aware of the difficulty of the research, the typology has not been further specified, and no paper was excluded, trying to include all possible material.

Therefore results concern all the 39 papers surveyed and mentioned in the references [1-39].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Three publications were laboratory studies or comments on spring water [8,17,18].

One (5) shows images of an esophageal biopsy whose profile resembles a prayerful Bernadette Subirous.

Clinical studies have been classified by type, according with Grimes and Schulz [40]:

- A. Background information: 17: (1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15, 20, 21, 23, 25, 29, 31, 32, 34, 38);
- B. Expert opinion: 9 (13, 19, 24, 27, 28, 30,35, 36, 37);
- C. Case report: None;
- D. Observational case series (series or case revision):2 (26, 33);
- E. Descriptive cross-sectional study: 2 (7, 22);
- F. Analytical cross-sectional study: None;
- G. Case-control study: None;
- H. Cohort study: 3 (11, 14, 16);
- I. Uncontrolled trial: 1 (39),
- J. Non-randomized controlled trial: 1 (4);
- K. Randomized controlled trial: none.

They are therefore mostly low-level publications, also because many of them are dated; quality seems to improve in recent years, even if there are good publications also in the past [39].

The only study classifiable as controlled, although not randomized [4] and with non-quantifiable results, refers to three cases (doctor, psychologist, actor) experiencing different positions, and so becoming self-controls.

An uncontrolled study [39] dates back to 1982 and sequentially examines by rating scales a group of sick pilgrims, reporting significant improvements in anxiety and depression levels at 1 and 10 months.

Of the three cohort studies, two [11,14] concern a norovirus epidemic that occurred in 2008 during the pilgrimage to Lourdes; the other examines a series of semi-structured interviews aimed at caregivers of terminally ill patients brought on pilgrimage to Lourdes [16].

Studies classified as descriptive and indeed more retrospective than transversal [7,22] are perhaps the most informative; dealing with critical review of the cases of healings, they also provide summary tabulated data on the activity of the Bureau and the CMIL, even if they cannot be considered as real analytical epidemiological studies.

The other papers, which are the majority, limit themselves to report basic information and sometimes more or less organized and commented case studies.

Presently we are trying to make a further evaluation of the quality of the works.

It is clear from now that comparisons by meta-analysis are not possible; however, between a formal meta-analysis and a "narrative" review, it is possible to choose a qualitative systematic review as "critical appraised topics" type [41].

However, some indications for the practice are already presentable.

COMMENTS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE

A great part of the scientific literature on Lourdes is of low evidence, not so much for the lack of experimental studies, not even perhaps hypothetical, but for the lack of planned observational works, and of epidemiologically structured observations (the recent epidemiological study by Iuliano [42] has been published only in 2019).

Although the decrease over time in canonical healings is evident, considerations about the "progressive extinction of Lourdes cures" [7] still seem to be premature, especially looking at the number of statements to the Bureau: 103 in the 2013-2015 period, 22 in 2017 [43].

Strategies are therefore needed to raise the quality of scientific literature on this topic.

Apart from the epidemiological survey, begun on the canonically recognized healings [42] we propose to continue the revision of the literature and its extension beyond the borders of Lourdes,

Of course, it is unlikely that "unexpected healings", by their very definition, can be studied in trials and even less randomized.

However, a discreet but also planned and eventually repeated observation of pilgrims and patients can generate cohort and even controlled studies, not only on unexpected healings, but, more concretely for the effects on patients, on the "perception" of healing, on quality of life and the other beneficial effects of religious experience, reported in literature, in the context of "complementary and alternative" therapies.

Medical Bureau itself could coordinate by providing material (i.e., protocols, questionnaires, scales and so on, including online) and collecting data.

The role of medical and also nursing volunteering can be important; it is relevant that five articles [2,9,10,12,25] and one of the few case studies [18], are published in nursing journals.

The most recent initiatives of the Bureau, such as the opening to other medical professions and to students [12], are going in this direction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thanks to Alessandro De Franciscis MD, for his interest and advice.

SPONSORSHIPS

None

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None

REFERENCES

- 1. Burke RLC (2017) Homily for the memorial of our lady of Lourdes. Linacre Q 84: 205-207.
- Leonard DB (2016) Discovering health care missions: Nurse on a mission: A journey toward spiritual caring. J Christ Nurs 33: 156-159.
- 3. Dichoso TJ (2015) Lourdes: A uniquely Catholic approach to medicine. Linacre Q 82: 8-12.
- 4. Goldingay S, Dieppe P, Farias M (2014) 'And the pain just disappeared into insignificance': The healing response in Lourdes Performance, psychology and caring. Int Rev Psychiatr 26: 315-323.
- 5. Coyne JD (2014) Saint Bernadette, the Saint of Lourdes. Int J Surg Pathol 22:70.
- Duffin J (2014) Religion and medicine, again: JHMAS commentary on "The Lourdes medical cures revisited". J Hist Med Allied Sci 69: 162-165.

- François B, Sternberg EM, Fee E (2014) The Lourdes medical cures revisited. J Hist Med Allied Sci 69: 135-162.
- 8. Nau JY (2012) The Montagnier Affaire (follow-up): The memory of Lourdes water (article in French) Rev Med Suisse 8: 1367.
- 9. Rudden P (2011) Volunteering for service: The role of emergency nurses. Emerg Nurs 19: 18-19.
- 10. Leven KH (2010) Bernadette Soubirous (1844-1879) and the miracle healings at Lourdes (article in German). Dtsch Med Wochenschr 135: 2588-2592.
- 11. Rondy M, Koopmans M, Rotsaert C (2011) Norovirus disease associated with excess mortality and use of statins: A retrospective cohort study of an outbreak following a pilgrimage to Lourdes. Epidemiol Infect 139: 453-463.
- 12. Baldacchino D (2010) Caring in Lourdes: An innovation in students' clinical placement. Br J Nurs 19: 358-366.
- Norheim AJ (2009) Miraculous cure in Lourdes? (Article in Norwegian) Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen 129: 1902-1904.
- 14. Verhoef L, Duizer E, Vennema H (2008) Import of norovirus infections in the Netherlands and Ireland following pilgrimages to Lourdes, 2008-preliminary report. Euro Surveill 13: pii: 19025.
- 15. Leven KH (2006) "Our God rightly sends miracles only extremely rarely"-Bernadette Soubirous (1844-1879), Lourdes and the history of miracle cures (article in German). Praxis (Bern 1994) 95: 1605-1608.
- Lillie AK (2005) The practice of pilgrimage in palliative care: A case study of Lourdes. Int J Palliat Nurs 11: 234-239.
- Ansaloni A (2003) Effect of Lourdes water on water pH. Boll Chim Farm 142: 202-205.
- 18. Ansaloni A (2002) Effect of the Lourdes water on "chlorinated water". Boll Chim Farm 141: 80-83.
- 19. Szabo J (2002) Seeing is believing? The form and substance of French medical debates over Lourdes. Bull Hist Med 76: 199-230.
- 20. Comfort KA (2001) Divine images of hysteria in Emile Zola's Lourdes. Ninet Century Fr Stud 30: 329-345.
- 21. Pope BC (1989) Emile Zola's Lourdes: Land of healing and rupture. Lit Med 8: 22-35.
- 22. Dowling SJ (1984) Lourdes cures and their medical assessment. J R Soc Med 77: 634-638.
- 23. (1983) Final documents of the seminar organized at the occasion of the 50th anniversary of CICIAMS. Lourdes

- 1933-1983 (article in English, French, Spanish). Nouv Com Int Cathol Infirm Assist Med Soc 3: 49-60.
- 24. (1976) The physician and Lourdes (article in German). Krankenpflege (Frankf) 30: 353-354.
- Cannon JT (1968) Children at Lourdes. Nurs Times 64: 1592-1593.
- 26. Lidvall HF (1964) Neurologic studies of the "miracles" in Lourdes (article in Swedish). Sven Lakartidn 61: 411-420.
- 27. Edwards CE (1961) The physician at Lourdes: Reason and procedure. Georgetown Med Bull 15: 182-183.
- 28. Cornet L (1958) Critical notes on the cures of Lourdes (article in French). J Med Bord 135: 1337-1340.
- Fletcher AH (1958) Lourdes, 1858 to 1957. Cent Afr J Med 4: 446-450.
- 30. Wolffenbuttel E (1958) Psychosomatic medicine: In dimensional medicine; further study on Lourdes (article in Portuguese). Rev Bras Med 15: 415-416.
- 31. Aubuchon M (1958) Lourdes; mecca in the mountains. Hosp Prog 39: 125-126.
- 32. Lehmann M (1954) Refusal of official funds for visits to the springs of Lourdes and Fatima (article in German). Tuberkulosearzt 8: 514.
- 33. Siegmund G (1953) Medical investigation of Lourdes cures (article in undetermined language). Hippokrates 24: 329-332.
- 34. Koepchen A (1952) Lourdes (article in undetermined language). Med Tech (Stuttg) 14: 458-459.
- 35. Morgan J (1952) Psychosomatic effects of a pilgrimage to Lourdes (article in undetermined language). Med Tech (Stuttg) 14: 457.
- 36. Hornung H (1951) Psychosomatic effect of a pilgrimage to Lourdes (article in undetermined language). Med Welt 20: 904-905.
- 37. Ramos Baez P (1950) Carrel and the miracles of Lourdes (Comments) (article in undetermined language). Rev Med Cubana 61: 617-642.
- 38. Gasquet FA (1910) The catholic church and the Lourdes cures. Br Med J 2: 465-467.
- Morris PA (1982) The effect of pilgrimage on anxiety, depression and religious attitude. Psychol Med 12: 291-294.
- 40. Grimes DA, Schulz KF (2002) An overview of clinical research: The lay of the land. Lancet 359: 57-61.
- 41. Bradley P, Burls AJ (2000) Critical appraisal skills programme: A project in critical appraisal skills

- teaching to improve the quality in health care. J Clin Governance 7: 88-91.
- 42. Iuliano G (2019) Unexpected healings in Lourdes catholic sanctuary: An epidemiological review on the cases canonically acknowledged. Clin Case Rep Rev 4: 1-6.
- 43. De Franciscis A (2018) AMIL annual assembly, Lourdes 11-2-2018 (unpublished data).