Journal of Agriculture and Forest Meteorology Research
JAFMR, 3(2): 315-318
www.scitcentral.com

ISSN: 2642-0449

Original Research Article: Open Access

# Rainfall Analysis for Crop Planning in Puri District of Odisha

**CR Subudhi, Sukanya Suryavanshi, Nibedita Jena and R Subudhi***

*CAET, OUAT, Bhubaneswar-751003, Odisha, India.*

*Received June 28, 2019; Accepted July 26, 2019; Published March 28, 2020*

## ABSTRACT

This study was under taken in the U.G. thesis work in the Dept. Of SWCE, CAET, OUAT, Bhubaneswar during the year 2018-19**.** Puri district has latitude of 20°50'40"N and a longitude of 85°09'04"E. The average rainfall at Puri district is around 1673.9 mm, though it receives high amount rainfall but most of the rainfall occurred during *khari*. So most of the crops get low yield due to improper crop planning. Thus, this study is proposed to be undertaken with the following objective: Probability analysis of annual, seasonal and monthly rainfall data of Puri district. So rainfall data were collected from OUAT, Agril Meteorology Department from 2001 to 2017 (17 years) monthly, seasonal and annual rainfall were analysed .Probability analysis have been made and equations were fitted to different distributions and best fitted equations were tested. Monthly, Annual and seasonal probability analysis of rainfall data shows the probability rainfall distribution of Puri district in different months, years and seasons. It is observed that rainfall during June to Sep is slightly less than 1000 mm and cropping pattern like paddy (110 days) may be followed by mustard is suitable to this region. Also if the *kharif* rain can be harvested and it can be reused for another *rabi* crop by using sprinkler or drip irrigation, which will give benefit to the farmers. Annual rainfall of Puri district is 1264.4 mm at 50% probability level.

**Keywords:** Rainfall, Probability analysis, Crop planning, Command area, Hirakud

## INTRODUCTION

Puri district has latitude of 20°50'40"N and a longitude of 85°09'04"E. The average rainfall at Puri district is around 1673.9 mm, most of the rainfall occurred during *kharif*. Thus, this study is proposed to be undertaken with the following objective: Probability analysis of annual, seasonal and monthly rainfall data of Puri district.

Thom [1] employed mixed gamma probability distribution for describing skewed rainfall data and employed approximate solution to non-linear equations obtained by differentiating log likelihood function with respect to the parameters of the distribution. Subsequently, this methodology along with variance ratio test as a goodness-of-fit has been widely employed [2-4] applied incomplete gamma probability distribution for rainfall analysis. In addition to gamma probability distribution, other two-parameter probability distributions (normal, log-normal, Weibull, smallest and largest extreme value) and three-parameter probability distributions (log-normal, gamma, log-logistic and Weibull) have been widely used for studying flood frequency, drought analysis and rainfall probability analysis [4].

Gumbel [5] and Chow [6] have applied gamma distribution with two and three parameter, Pearson type-III, extreme value, binomial and Poisson distribution to hydrological data.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data were collected from District Collector's Office, Puri for this study. Rainfall data for17 years from 2001 to 2017 are collected for the presented study to make rainfall forecasting through different methods.

### Probability distribution functions

For seasonal rainfall analysis of Puri district, three seasons-*kharif* (June-September), *rabi* (October to January) and summer (February to May) are considered.

The data is fed into the Excel spreadsheet, where it is arranged in a chronological order and the Weibull plotting position formula is then applied. The Weibull plotting

**Corresponding author**: R Subudhi, CAET, OUAT, Bhubaneswar-751003, Odisha, India, E-mail: rsubudhi5906@gmail.com

**Citation:** Subudhi CR, Suryavanshi S, Jena N & Subudhi R. (2020) Rainfall Analysis for Crop Planning in Puri District of Odisha. J Agric Forest Meteorol Res, 3(2): 315-318.

position formula is then applied. The Weibull plotting position formula is given by:

$$p = m/N+1$$

where *m*=rank number

*N*=number of years

The recurrence interval is given by:

$$T = 1/p = N+1/m$$

The values are then subjected to various probability distribution functions namely- normal, log-normal (2-parameter), log-normal (3-parameter), gamma, generalized extreme value, Weibull, generalized Pareto distribution, Pearson, log-Pearson type-III and Gumbel distribution. Some of the probability distribution functions are described as follows:

**Normal distribution:** The probability density is:

$$p(x) = (1/\sigma\sqrt{2\pi})\, e^{-(x-\mu)2/2\sigma 2}$$

where *x* is the variate, μ is the mean value of variate and σ is the standard deviation. In this distribution, the mean, mode and median are the same. The cumulative probability of a value being equal to or less than *x* is:

$$p(x \leq) \quad 1/\sigma\sqrt{2} \int e^{-(x \ \mu)^2/2}$$

This represents the area under the curve between the variates of -∞ and x.

**Log-normal (2-parameter) distribution:** The probability density is:

$$p(x) = (1/\sigma \quad \sqrt{\ })e^{-(\quad y)^2/2}$$

where $y = \ln x$, where *x* is the variate, $\mu_y$ is the mean of *y* and $\sigma_y$ is the standard deviation of *y*.

**Log-normal (3-parameter) distribution:** A random variable *X* is said to have three-parameter log-normal probability distribution if its probability density function (pdf) is given by:

$$f(x) \quad \left\{ \frac{}{(x \quad \lambda)\sigma\sqrt{2}} \quad \left\{ -\left( \frac{\log(x \quad \lambda) -}{} \right) \right\}, \right\}$$

Where μ, σ and λ are known as location, scale and threshold parameters, respectively.

**Pearson distribution:** The general and basic equation to define the probability density of a Pearson distribution:

$$p(x) \quad \int \frac{}{}$$

Where a, $b_0$, $b_1$ and $b_2$ are constants.

The criteria for determining types of distribution are $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$ and k where

$$\frac{(\beta \quad 3)}{4(4 \quad )(2 \quad 6)}$$

Where $\mu_2$, $\mu_3$ and $\mu_4$ are second, third and fourth moments about the mean.

**Log-Pearson type III distribution:** In this the variate is first transformed into logarithmic form (base 10) and the transformed data is then analyzed. If *X* is the variate of a random hydrologic series, then the series of *Z* variates where

$$z = \log x$$

are first obtained. For this z series, for any recurrence interval *T* and the coefficient of skew $C_s$

$\sigma_z$=standard deviation of the *Z* variate sample

$$= \sqrt{\sum (z - \bar{z})^2/(N-1)}$$

And $C_s$=coefficient of skew of variate *Z*

$$= \frac{N \sum (z \ \bar{z})^3}{(N \ 1)(N \ 2)\sigma}$$

$\bar{z}$= mean of *z* values

*N*=sample size=number of years of record

**Generalized Pareto distribution:** The family of generalized Pareto distributions (GPD) has three parameters μ, σ and ξ.

The cumulative distribution function is

$$(\varepsilon \quad )(x) \quad \left(1 \quad \frac{\xi(x \quad \mu)}{}\right) - \quad \left( \quad \exp\left(- \frac{}{}\right) \right)$$

For $x \geq \mu$ when $\xi \geq 0$ and $x \leq \mu$ when $\xi < 0$, where is the location parameter, $\sigma > 0$ the scale parameter and the shape parameter.

The probability density function is

$$(\xi \quad )(x) \quad -(1 \quad \frac{\xi(x \quad \mu)}{})( \ - \ 1)$$

Or

$$(\xi \quad )(x) \quad \frac{-}{(\sigma \quad \xi(x \quad ))^{(- \ 1)}}$$

again, for $x \geq \mu$ and $x \leq \mu - \sigma/\xi$ when $\xi<0$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The various parameters like mean, standard deviation, RMSE value, were obtained and noted for different distributions. For generalized extreme value and generalized Pareto distribution the other parameters like shape parameter $\xi$, scale parameter $\sigma$ and location parameter $\mu$ are also noted for further calculation. Similar procedure is followed for the seasonal, annual and pentad analysis. The rainfall at 90%, 75%, 50%, 25% and 10% probability levels are determined. The distribution "best" fitted to the data is noted down in a tabulated form in **Table 1** [7-10].

**Table 1.** Rainfall analysis of Puri district at different probability levels for different months and season.

| Months | Best fit Distribution | RMSE Value | Rainfall at probability levels | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 90% | 75% | 50% | 25% | 10% |
| January | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| February | Pareto | 0.07784 | - | - | - | 5.02 | 30.46 |
| March | Log-Normal | 0.07497 | - | - | - | 17.99 | 34.31 |
| April | Pareto | 0.010106 | - | - | - | 19.42 | 93.71 |
| May | Log-Normal | 0.07936 | 6.47 | 17.50 | 41.04 | 91.22 | 184.41 |
| June | Pareto | 0.04229 | 56.84 | 101.4 | 179.74 | 266.18 | 326.20 |
| July | Log-Pearson | 0.04172 | 144.84 | 237.49 | 369.38 | 519.78 | 655.97 |
| August | Log-Person | 0.04739 | 180.61 | 242.45 | 357.84 | 567.34 | 918.39 |
| September | Gamma | 0.05029 | 158.90 | 213.75 | 288.56 | 379.16 | 475.12 |
| October | EV type-III | 0.05372 | - | 24.41 | 146.46 | 313.8 | 502.35 |
| November | Pareto | 0.04854 | - | 3.89 | 57.47 | 126.12 | 185.51 |
| December | Pareto | 0.08051 | - | - | - | 7.37 | 81.74 |
| Annual | Pareto | 0.0486 | 1187.39 | 1351.98 | 1673.9 | 2107.02 | 2509.81 |
| Kharif | Log-Pearson | 0.04398 | 888.77 | 1013.7 | 1220.68 | 1540.53 | 1986.64 |
| Rabi | Log-Pearson | 0.07077 | 77.45 | 143.06 | 242.92 | 400.20 | 623.50 |
| Summer | Log-Pearson | 0.08938 | - | 8.3 | 26.43 | 63.78 | 135.83 |

In the present study, the parameters of distribution for the different distributions have been estimated by FLOOD-flood frequency analysis software. The rainfall data is the input to the software programme. The best fitted distribution of different month and season and annual were presented in **Table 1**. The annual rainfall in 50% probability was found to be 1673.9 mm for Puri district of Odisha [11-14]. During *Kharif* at 50% probability level, the rainfall is 1220.68 mm whereas only 242.92 mm and 26.43 mm was received during *rabi* and *summer* respectively, so water harvesting structures may be made to grow crops during *rabi* and *summer* to utilise the water from the water harvesting structures to increase the cropping intensity of the area. It is also observed that at 75% probability level the June, July, August and September received more than 100 mm, so farmers of these area can grow crops in upland areas suitably paddy can be grown followed by any *rabi* crop in *rabi* season like mustard or kulthi in upland areas. In **Figure 1**, the plot between different months and amount of rainfall in different probabilities were shown, It is observed that July month gets highest amount of rainfall compared to other months [15-17].




**Figure 2**. Worldwide estimated jobs in green energy sector [8].

## CONCLUSION

Forecasting of rainfall is essential for proper planning of crop production. About 70% of cultivable land of Odisha depends on rainfall for crop production. Prediction of rainfall in advance helps to accomplish the agricultural operations in time. It can be concluded that, excess runoff should be harvested for irrigating post-monsoon crops. It becomes highly necessary to provide the farmers with high-yielding variety of crops and such varieties which require less water and are early-maturing in Puri district of Hirakud command area of Odisha. It is also observed that at 75% probability level the June, July, August and September received more than 100 mm, so farmers of these area can grow crops in upland areas suitably paddy can be grown followed by any rabi crop in *rabi* season like mustard or kulthi in upland areas. Annual rainfall of Puri district is 1673.9 mm at 50% probability level. It is observed that July month gets highest amount of rainfall compared to other months [18].

## REFERENCES

1. Thom HCS (1966) Some methods of climatological analysis. WMO Technical Note No. 81.
2. Kar G, Singh R, Verma HN (2004) Alternative cropping strategies for assured and efficient crop production in upland rain fed rice areas of eastern India based on rainfall analysis. Agricultural Water Management 67: 47-62.
3. Jat ML, Singh RV, Balyan JK, Jain LK, Sharma RK (2006) Analysis of weekly rainfall for Sorghum based crop planning in Udaipur region. Indian J Dryland Agric Res Dev 21: 114-122.
4. Senapati SC, Sahu AP, Sharma SD (2009) Analysis of meteorological events for crop planning in rain fed uplands. Indian J Soil Cons 37: 85-90.
5. Gumbel EJ (1954) Statistical theory of droughts. Proceedings of ASCE 80: 1-19.
6. Chow VT (1964) Hand book of Applied Hydrology. McGraw Hill Book Co.: New York, pp: 8-28.
7. Biswas BC (1990) Forecasting for agricultural application. Mausam 41: 329-334.
8. Das MK (1992) Analysis of agrometerological data of Bhubaneswar for crop planning. M. Tech. thesis. C.A.E.T., OUAT.
9. Gumbel EJ (1958) Statistics of extremes. Columbia University Press: New York.
10. Harshfield DM, Kohlar MA (1960) An empirical appraisal of the Gumbel extreme procedure. J Geophys Res 65: 1737-1746.
11. Panigrahi B (1998) Probability analysis of short duration rainfall for crop planning in coastal Orissa. Indian J Soil Cons 26: 178-182.
12. Reddy SR (1999) Principles of Agronomy. 1st Edn. Kalyani Publication.
13. Sadhab P (2002) Study of rainfall distributions and determination of drainage coefficient: A case study for coastal belt of Orissa. M. Tech. thesis. C. A. E. T., OUAT.
14. Sharda VN, Das PK (2005) Modeling weekly rainfall data for crop planning in a sub-humid climate of India. Agricultural Water Management 76: 120-138.
15. Subramanya K (1990) Engineering Hydrology. 23rd reprint. Tata Mc-graw Hill Publishing Company Ltd.
16. Subudhi CR (2007) Probability analysis for prediction of annual maximum daily rainfall of Chakapada block of Kandhamal district of Orissa. Indian J Soil Cons 35: 84-85.
17. Subudhi CR, Suryavansi S, Jena N (2019) Rainfall probability analysis for crop planning in Anugul block of Anugul district of Hirakud command area of Odisha, India. Int J Human Soc Sci 8: 49-54.
18. Weibull W (1951) A statistical distribution functions of wide applicability. J Appl Mech Tran ASME 18: 293-297.