

Plant Water Stress Coincidence with Evapotranspiration. A Brief Review

Oliveira MT*

*CITAB – UTAD, Department of Agronomy, Vila Real, Portugal.

Received January 27, 2019; Accepted February 04, 2019; Published July 09, 2019

INTRODUCTION

Water influences vegetative growth and reproductive growth, yield and fruit composition through their effects on fruit size and on photosynthetic activity and Water availability is a critical factor for assessing the impact of climate change on agriculture and agricultural water management. Agriculture producers and crop managers place great care on the water supply to their crops and, as water availability might become less reliable under the actual forecasts of climate change [1], they try to maximize the water use efficiency (WUE) even at the cost of some yield loss to gain environmental and economic sustainability of their operations. Many evaluations of water use efficiency are based on instantaneous measurements on the assumption that they are representative of whole-plant WUE. Examples of instantaneous measurements are stomatal conductance, leaf photosynthesis and transpiration and canopy temperature. These measurements either can't be automated and continuously recorded or it would be technologically too complex and extremely expensive. The relationship between instantaneous and whole-plant values sometimes are clear but often it is not, and the lack of correspondence is a methodological limitation to the applicability of the obtained results [2]. On woody plants it is possible to evaluate WUE from measurements of sap flow rate (SF) and diameter changes in conductive organs (maximum daily shrinkage (MDS) was the parameter used) that are considered good predictors of plant water status. Sap flow rate and diameter changes can be continuously and automatically measured with high resolution and recorded online; the technological development has made their use reliable and affordable.

SF and MDS are reported to be closely related to climatic variables such as solar radiation, temperature, vapor pressure deficit and evaporative demand of the atmosphere which is evaluated by the reference evapotranspiration (ET_o) as computed by Allen et al. [3]. Given the relationships between SF and MDS with weather parameters that are included in the calculation of ET_o [4,5], we assumed a statistical interdependency between a series of physiological indicators chronologically recorded and a simultaneously time spaced ET_o data. The assumption was tested on several

grapevines, with different amounts of available soil water that were fitted with dendrometers and sap flow needles. An *in situ* meteorological station collected weather data and automatically computed daily ET_o. All data was sent wireless to a gateway that made it available online. The relationship between physiological indicators series and ET_o series was tested by event coincidence analysis (ECA) that is concerned with quantifying the statistical interrelationships between pairs of event series [6].

SF and MDS could predict clearly the water status of the grapevines with highly significant differences and they both correlated significantly with ET_o. ECA showed that and ET_o high or low event triggered equivalent SF or MDS events, but not both simultaneously, one step time later with a coincidence far higher than would be expected by mere randomness. The results show that SF and MDS are good predictors of water status and they are dependent on ET_o but they do not respond equally to ET_o. SF and MDS are still complex predictors that can be recorded from a very limited number of plants with all the problems related to extrapolations to a large population. On other hand, ET_o is a common meteor computed from data of meteorological stations, today almost conspicuous everywhere and relying data online on real time, that is valid for large areas. Following the course of ET_o along the crop growing seasons, the manager knows when and how to intervene preventing damaging crop losses, especially when ET_o is high that will trigger hours later events of high plant water loss.

This analysis establishes empirical relationships, but it does not explain the mechanisms underlying the phenomena that are dependent on several factors both biotic and abiotic. However, the use of computed ET_o to plan just on time

Corresponding author: Oliveira MT, CITAB – UTAD, Department of Agronomy, Vila Real, Portugal, Tel: +351917647705; E-mail: mto@utad.pt

Citation: Oliveira MT. (2019) Plant Water Stress Coincidence with Evapotranspiration. A Brief Review. J Agric Forest Meteorol Res, 2(4): 177-178.

Copyright: ©2019 Oliveira MT. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

irrigation with low amount of water has been tried with good results, excessive yield losses were avoided and water was saved.

A detailed report was found by Oliveira et al. [7].

REFERENCES

1. Benzie M, Carter TR, Carlsen H, Taylor R (2019). Cross-border climate change impacts: Implications for the European Union. *Regional Environmental Change* 19: 1-14.
2. Medrano H, Tomas M, Martorell S, Flexas J, Hernández E, et al. (2015) From leaf to whole-plant water use efficiency (WUE) in complex canopies: Limitations of leaf WUE as a selection target. *Crop J* 3: 220-228.
3. Allen RG, Pereira L, Raes D, Smith M (1998). Crop evapotranspiration. Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage 56. Rome, Italy, pp: 15-27.
4. Conesa MR, Torres R, Domingo R, Navarro H, Soto F (2016) Maximum daily trunk shrinkage and stem water potential reference equations for irrigation scheduling in table grapes. *Agriculture Water Management* 172: 51-61.
5. Mirás-Avalos JM, Pérez-Sarmiento F, Alcobendas R, Alarcón JJ, Mounzer O (2016) Reference values of maximum daily trunk shrinkage for irrigation scheduling in mid-late maturing peach trees. *Agriculture Water Management* 171: 31-39.
6. Donges JF, Schleussner CF, Siegmund JF, Donner RV (2016). Event coincidence analysis for quantifying statistical interrelationships between event time series. *Eur Phys J Special Topics* 225: 471-487.
7. Oliveira MT, Oliveira AC, Castro CB (2017) Dependence of sap flow and stem diameter fluctuation of grapevines on reference evapotranspiration: An event coincidence analysis. *Adv Plants Agric Res* 7: 279-287.